



**Naval Air Station
South Weymouth, MA
Restoration Advisory Board
Summary of RAB Meeting – January 8, 2009**



1. INTRODUCTIONS/ APPROVAL OF PRIOR MEETING MINUTES

Susan Jeghelian, substituting for Mary Skleton Roberts, opened the meeting at approximately 7:00 PM. She requested that all attendees, including RAB members, regulators, and audience members, introduce themselves. She noted that the meeting agenda, handouts, and the sign-in sheet were available on the back table. The sign-in sheet for the meeting is provided as Attachment A to this meeting summary. S. Jeghelian asked if everyone had time to read the minutes from the November 2008 RAB meeting and if there were any comments. There were no comments on the minutes. She then asked if there were any questions or comments on the December clean-up update. There were no comments on the update.

S. Jeghelian then reviewed the ground rules for the meeting and reminded the meeting attendees that the focus of the meeting is cleanup issues; redevelopment issues will be placed on the 'parking lot.' She reviewed the guidelines for the meeting and reminded the participants when asking questions to wait to speak until they are acknowledged, to state their names and affiliations, and to speak clearly or into the microphone when they have questions.

S. Jeghelian then reviewed the agenda for the meeting. She noted that in addition to the standard RAB agenda she and Mary had prepared a more detailed agenda specifically for this meeting. As noted, there are two goals for tonight's discussion: to highlight improvements to the RAB public involvement process; and to clarify and address outstanding issues that require follow up. Both meeting agendas and the Action Item Tracking List are provided as Attachment B to this meeting summary. In accordance with the agenda, the presentation and discussion would be followed by the Updates and Action Items portion of the meeting.

2. PRESENTATION

S. Jeghelian provided a brief summary regarding the public's desire to improve the public involvement process and reviewed the list of 2008 enhancements. M. Skelton-Roberts met with members of the community in late summer/fall to discuss enhancements to the public involvement process. An outcome of these discussions was a September 11, 2008 citizen memo which summarized the public's concerns. A four- person EPA team, led by K. Keckler, will be onsite regularly to provide oversight and address immediate cleanup concerns once SSTTDC assumes cleanup responsibilities (e.g. after the deal closes

and the LIFOC [lease in furtherance of conveyance] is in place). R. Shewack (EPA Region I TAG Coordinator) gave a presentation on the technical assistance grant process at the July 10, 2008 RAB meeting. J. Falvey (EPA Community Involvement Coordinator) has been working with the residents to apply for a TAG grant. MassDEP has identified D. DeLorenzo as an ombudsman to channel non-environmental cleanup concerns from the community to the proper state programs. LNR and SSTTDC key personnel now regularly attend RAB meetings. The Navy is working on establishing a new website and continues to make information available to residents. SSTTDC has also recently updated their website. Maps detailing the Naval Air Station and its relationship to the surrounding communities have been provided and are posted at RAB meetings. A matrix of items from the September 11, 2008 memo and attachments and the October 16, 2008 parking lot questions has been developed to help keep track of community concerns and address questions going forward.

Some of these enhancements are now in place and some will be put in place after the Navy and SSTTDC close on the property transfer/lease deal. The enhancements will be critical to the public involvement once SSTTDC takes over FOST 6 site cleanup responsibilities. S. Jeghelian asked if there were any questions on the enhancements. There were none.

S. Jeghelian then moved on to the matrix M. Skelton Roberts developed from the September 11, 2008 memo and the October 2008 parking lot items. The matrix indicates where the questions came from, the BCT response to the questions, and when or what the next steps will be. The chart is broken into separate issues: RAB/cleanup, base re-development, and miscellaneous questions. The purpose of the matrix is to focus on the next steps. The matrix was developed so these issues do not have to be continually re-visited, and so all the answers are in one place. The matrix was provided to some community members prior to the meeting and also as a meeting handout. It is included in Attachment C.

D. Galluzzo stated that he does not want to rush through going over the matrix; some of the comments are not satisfactory and the public wants clarification. S. Jeghelian stated the intention is not to rush, and that hopefully in going over the matrix clarification will be provided. The matrix was designed to be a working document to track issues in the future.

The following briefly summarizes questions and discussion of items on the matrix. The BCT response and next steps columns of the matrix have been updated accordingly.

I. RAB Cleanup

Item #1. P. Scannell asked if there was anyone from the EPA/DEP that was aware of permits that are in place so that development can begin. J. Young stated that permits have been obtained for initial

construction for Phase 1A from DEP and Natural Heritage. M. Parsons confirmed that the community was aware of the Phase 1A permit.

M. Parsons asked how they arrived at the amount of money they determined to be suitable to finish the cleanup. D. Barney responded that it is based on the Navy's cost to complete the remediation. M. Parsons asked who will cleanup the West Gate Landfill. D. Barney stated that the WGL cleanup will be completed per the ROD. It is the Navy's responsibility but will probably be completed by others. The properties that will be cleaned up by SSTTDC/LNR are identified on the various FOST figures. D. Barney reviewed the FOST/FOSL in the summer (June and July RAB meetings). All of the sites remaining on the schedule handed out at tonight's meeting will be part of this process.

D. Galluzzo stated that at the September meeting LNR promised a presentation after the October meeting, and now the presentation is being delayed until the transfer is complete. R. Daniels clarified that the presentation was intended to take place after the transfer was complete and the LIFOC is in place. They are not in a position to present information at this time, since technically they do not own the land yet. They are prepared to make the presentation when the land is transferred, though, which is scheduled for the end of March.

Item #2. The EPA team will be in place after the LIFOC is in place, since the purpose of the team was to oversee LNR and SSTTDC work. EPA continues to oversee the Navy's work. D. Galluzzo commented that he assumed that nothing is being done. D. Barney stated that the Navy is continuing to move forward with environmental site cleanup actions. There is not a lot of field work underway right now, but there are still activities ongoing at a number of sites.

Items #3 & 4. M. Parsons stated that they were also asking if there were college students that could help, separate from the TAG. J. Flavey stated that the community would need to do that independently, there is not any EPA program that would do this. D. Barney added that they would need to go through colleges/work study programs, etc.

Items #5 & 6. No questions.

Item #7. M. Parsons stated that they want signs in place sooner, such as at the RDA. D. Barney noted that there are signs at the RDA and there are signs identifying the IR sites. The site control plan is designed to address the residents' concerns when the sites become more accessible to the public. P. Scannell is concerned that SSTTDC will not clean up to adequate standards; the public wants full disclosure. D. Barney stated that SSTTDC prepares the draft documents for review by the Navy, EPA, and DEP.

Item #8. SSTTDC also has a comprehensive library and will continue to maintain these documents. A question was asked: What are the legal requirements for disseminating the information about Superfund sites on the Base? D. Barney stated that this information is listed in the FOST, which satisfies the legal requirements. Fundamentally the FOST includes a notification of hazardous substances being stored on the premises. The recipient of the deed is made aware of this notification. A question was asked: Is it a legal obligation to notify the prospective buyers? In response it was noted that the FOST is provided to anyone who is interested in land on the property. The information is available and is included with the deed transfer.

Item #9. No comments.

Item #10. D. Barney clarified that the remedial investigation (RI) identifies the nature and extent of contamination and any risks, and then the feasibility study (FS) will evaluate alternatives to address the risks. K. Hayes asked if the property will be transferred as is. D. Barney said no, the LIFOC allows SSTTDC to perform the cleanup, but no transfer will occur until cleanup is complete. FOSTs 3, 4, and 5A are complete and signed by the Navy; the property covered in those FOSTs will be transferred at closing. Property in FOST 5B and 6, including sites undergoing investigation and cleanup, will be leased to SSTTDC until the cleanup of each site is complete. B. Olson stated that the site control plan will restrict access to sites until all cleanups are complete. D. Barney stated that if for some reason the deal doesn't close, the Navy will finish the cleanup. There will be no transfer of title until the cleanup of the property is complete.

Item #11. M. Parsons stated a concern that once the land is leased the developer can do anything. D. Barney responded that the lease will have restrictions, and that the developer needs approval from the Navy prior to performing restricted activities. R. Daniels noted that on the leased land, LNR can only perform cleanup work. All SSTTDC documents will go to the Navy then to EPA and DEP. There is a buffer zone surrounding each of the CERCLA sites, and that buffer zone cannot be built on, either, until the clean up is complete. In response to a question, the Navy will check on the amount of acreage included in FOST 5B and FOST 6.

Item #12. M. Parsons would like to know why there has been such a delay in capping the Small Landfill. D. Barney stated that the delay is really due to the Navy's funding priorities. It was not considered a high priority since it was not a CERCLA site; therefore there was no real risk. However there are state solid waste issues and under state regulations a solid waste landfill requires a cap. It has been a long time, but the current schedule show that the cap will be completed by September 15, 2010.

Item #13. Previously covered.

Item #14. M. Parsons stated that the SSTTDC change from prior statements about excavating the WGL is a credibility issue for the public. R. Klieman responded that Mass Highway has accepted and approved the design of the East/West Highway, including placing a parking lot for the MBTA over the WGL. To install the highway, some solid waste will be consolidated. The long term monitoring and operations of the property will remain a SSTTDC responsibility. H. Welch asked if you are pushing the waste around, isn't that compounding the problem. D. Chaffin stated that these questions have not been answered (still in the pre-design phase) and these questions will be addressed in the remedial design. A number of attendees expressed concerns over consolidating the waste. D. Barney stated that the waste will be consolidated within the current footprint of the WGL. Capping the landfill was the decision that was reached in the ROD. A. Malewicz noted a parking lot is an appropriate cap design/reuse; she offered to bring photographs to the next RAB meeting that show other closed landfills with similar caps.

Item #15. No comments.

Item #16. M. Parsons would like the status to be changed to "on-going". D. Chaffin stated that the five year review will look at the RDA and the floc so item 16 could be closed and the issue could be looked at under the five year review.

II. Base Re-Development

S. Jeghelian stated that Base re-development issues are typically not discussed at the RAB, but the matrix will hopefully identify who to go to for more information.

T. Pries wanted to skip ahead to the "Other" category and discuss Whitman's Pond, Item #2. She stated that she would like Whitman's Pond to be moved under "clean up issues" instead of "other" and would like someone to speak about the results of the Beta Study in relation to Whitman's Pond. It was noted that the Beta Report does not tie any contamination to the Base. The report was relevant to beryllium, which is a component of military aircraft manufacturing. However, there was no manufacturing of aircraft or aircraft components at the Base, just aircraft maintenance and utilization, so the beryllium in Whitman's Pond cannot be tied to the Base. B. Olson stated that the Old Swamp River testing funded by EPA looked at locations downstream of the Base and the USGS work showed no issues. He noted that the main concern with Old Swamp River was the Rubble Disposal Area, which is being monitored.

Item #1. M. Parsons wants to see the 401 ACOE permit. D. Galluzzo would like these questions to be answered at the next RAB meeting. All attendees were again reminded that the RAB process covers

clean-up issues and not re-development issues. J. Young encouraged the public to ask questions of him and his staff during SSTTDC business hours and he will try to answer questions or point them in the right direction.

Item #2. This is not an environmental cleanup issue and thus should be directed to D. DeLorenzo of MassDEP. His contact information is on the front page of the RAB agenda and has been added to the matrix. K. Hayes asked about the design of the new sewage treatment plant and suggested a RAB presentation on the subject. R. Klieman responded that the design process for the new treatment plant is ongoing; the plan has to be submitted to the DEP as part of the permit process and the public could have access to it. He stated that it is considered a 'state-of-the-art' design. Both R. Klieman and D. Barney again noted that this is not a restoration/cleanup issue. P. Scannell asked how the public would obtain information about the system and plans and asked for information about locations where treatment plants with similar designs have been built. J. Young stated that he will notify the public as they proceed through the design and permitting process. There will be several presentations at the local level and he will keep the public updated. He will provide information at the next RAB meeting about other plants of a similar design.

Item #3. D. DeLorenzo stated that he believes the contract for the independent observer has been signed by the Commissioner. He will confirm this at the next RAB meeting.

Item #4. J. Young and R. Klieman will be the contacts for this information.

There was insufficient time to discuss the remaining items under the Base Re-Development and Other categories on the matrix. D. Barney suggested that clarifications and updates can be added to the matrix but that no more RAB time should be spent on development issues. B. Sortin stated that she disagreed and that all the issues discussed should be covered under the RAB.

3. UPDATES AND ACTION ITEMS

Action Items:

- How much acreage is in FOST 5B and FOST 6?
- A. Malewicz will provide photos of landfill reuse similar to what is planned for the WGL.
- Provide the 401 ACOE permit to those interested (J. Young).
- Provide an update on the status of the independent observer (D. DeLorenzo).
- Provide sewage treatment plant design information and permitting updates (J. Young)

The January Action Items and Update Items were not reviewed, but will be picked up at the next RAB meeting.

Conclusion/Next Meeting

S. Jeghelian wrapped up the meeting. The next RAB meeting will be the second Thursday in March (March 12). The meeting topic will be a cleanup issue.

**Naval Air Station South Weymouth Restoration Advisory Board
Matrix of Questions & Responses
Discussed at January 8, 2009 RAB Meeting, Revised February 2009**

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
I. RAB/Clean-up			
1. Greater Understanding of the Clean Up and Allocation of resources for the Site.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo. Community Needs/Requirements. Page 1	During the Oct. meeting EPA and MassDEP explored ways to present and produce easily readable information to residents. LNR agreed that once the Navy/SSTTDC deal closes and the LIFOC is in place, they would do a presentation that explained their estimated costs for completion of the clean up. See Sept. and January RAB summaries for more information.	LNR presentation once the deal closes and the LIFOC is in place.
2. Greater oversight of LNR/SSTTDC clean up and development activities.	Sept. 11, 2008 memo. Page 1	At the Oct. meeting, Bryan Olson informed residents that EPA was in the process of putting a team together, led by Kymberlee Keckler of EPA, to be on site on a regular basis once SSTTDC begins cleanup activities. See also Sept. and January RAB summaries for more detail.	Oversight to begin after LIFOC is in place.
3. We would like funds to hire technical experts.	Sept. 11, 2008 memo. Page 2	Robert Shewack and Jeanethe Falvey of EPA have met with resident to discuss the TAG grant process.	Residents are responsible for submitting a TAG Grant application.

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
4. We would like either DEP or EPA to provide residents with a resource who has both legal and environmental expertise.	Sept. 11, 2008 memo. Page 2.	TAG Grant can be used to review data and get technical information. It cannot be used for sampling or for legal expertise. See July 2008 and Sept. 2008 RAB summaries for more details.	Residents are responsible for submitting TAG Grant Application.
5. We would like the RAB to be continued. (Note: Also requested that MassDEP invite several other groups and individuals to RAB meetings.)	Sept. 11, 2008. Page 2	At the Oct. meeting, Dave Barney stated that the RAB would be continued throughout clean up and as long as people were interested. EPA added names of other groups to invite to RAB meetings to the mailing lists.	On-going.
6. What contingencies are in place should a contaminant and/or unknown contamination be found?	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #2, Item 2)	At numerous RAB meetings B. Olson and D. Barney have stated that the Navy is ultimately responsible for any contamination at the Base. Most recently addressed at Sept. 2008 RAB meeting.	None.
7. Institutional controls need to be put in place at the site immediately. For example, areas that have already been identified as contaminated should be fenced off, with proper signage identifying the hazard as a CERCLA site with the skull and crossbones logo. Existing signage is inadequate.	Sept 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 11)	ICs are in development by SSTDTC as part of the Site Control Plan. The Navy expects that ICs will be in place in late spring/early summer. (i.e., approx 45 days after the LIFO is in place and the Site Control Plan is approved.)	By Summer 2009

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
8. Where will the future onsite repository of the historical environmental documentation be for residents, developers and investors to review the conditions and cleanup on the Base? What are the legal requirements for disseminating this information?	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 12)	There are several mechanisms for availability of the information. (1) The information will continue to be available at the on-site Navy Office until all property is transferred and is also available at the SSTDC office. (2) Local libraries will have all the information available on DVD. (3) Administrative record will be kept permanently at the Federal Records Center. (4) The Navy BRAC PMO website will provide information on the location of historical documents. See the January RAB summary for more detail.	None.
9. We request a timeline and schedule of the clean up.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 13)	A timetable and schedule was provided to residents during the Oct. meeting. A revised schedule was provided at the Jan. 2009 meeting. LNR will also do a presentation after the LIFOC is in place, which will highlight the timetable and schedule as well.	On-going. Schedule changes will be provided at RAB meetings as they occur.
10. Find out the source of the plume under Bldg. 81 and AOC 108 (CERCLA SITE # 9 and 11, Operable Units 9 and 14). Under no circumstances should any building be built on or near those sites.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 5)	Bldg. 81 and AOC 108 (Solvent Release Area) are currently in the midst of a Remedial Investigation (RI). Draft reports have been sent to the agencies (summer 2008), and comments have been received from EPA and DEP. See the January RAB summary for more detail.	Complete RI/FS process.

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
11. Contaminated land should not be transferred to LNR until all the clean up is complete.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 6)	Under the LIFO (for remaining sites under FOST 6) SSTTDC will be responsible for clean up according to Navy, MassDEP and EPA requirements. However, under the FFA, the Navy is responsible for the cleanup.	None.
12. When will Small Landfill be capped?	Oct. 16, 2008 parking Lot	Navy's August 11, 2008 letter requesting schedule extension/modification was handed out on October 16, 2008. The schedule shows a date of 9/15/2010 for completion of the constructed cap.	None.
13. Are contaminated sites properly signed? When will institutional controls be put in place?	Oct. 16, 2008 Parking Lot	Note: already asked and responded to as part of Sept. 11, 2008 memo. See also item 7 above.	Summer of 2009.
14. Will the West Gate Landfill be excavated as suggested by SSTTDC comments on the WGL Proposed Plan (Note: Terry Fancher, SSTTDC, June 19, 2007 letter made this statement.)	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. # 1, Item 8)	The Record of Decision for this site was signed by the Navy and EPA in September 2007. The selected remedy is a constructed soil cover with long-term monitoring and institutional controls. There are no further plans to excavate the site.	The Navy is taking the necessary steps to execute the remedy defined in the ROD.
15. Can a grid overlay of entire site, showing all the sampling that's taken place be provided to the residents?	Nov. 19, 2008 resident meeting with Jeanethe Falvey, EPA Community Outreach Coordinator.	Yes. The Navy will prepare posters that will be posted at RAB meetings. Posters were provided at the January 2009 RAB meeting.	Completed. Posters will be posted regularly at RAB meetings and updated semi-annually.

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
16. Iron flocculent in French's Stream needs to be studied further. The feeder stream that feeds old Swamp River next to RDA does not demonstrate any excess iron floc, yet it is approximately 75' from the RDA site, which leaches excessive iron floc.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 4)	Iron floc was tested and found to not pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. There is no further action under CERCLA expected regarding iron floc.	On-going.
II. Base Re-Development Concerns and Questions			
1. By using the Navy storm drains, more iron floc will end up into Old Swamp River and French's Stream.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 2)	EPA and MassDEP agree that this is not a CERCLA issue. This is more relevant to the 401 Water Quality Certificate required for the overall project. See April 11, 2008 Certificate from EOEEA.	Residents are encouraged to approach SSTTDC to inquire: (1) What are your storm water control plans? (2) Where in the permitting process are you? (3) What opportunities are there for residents to be involved? SSTTDC Contact: Jim Young [781-682-2187/ young@ssttdc.com]

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
2. Move sewage treatment plant away from the water and tributaries that feed into French's Stream with strict maintenance and fail safe system in place to safeguard our watersheds.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 3)	The Final EIR (Environmental Impact Report) contains information related to the location of the Sewage Treatment plant. This is not a CERCLA cleanup issue. The public is encouraged to get involved in the permitting process. See also the SSTTDC May 18, 2007 letter responding to parking lot items.	For more in formation, contact SSTTDC or permitting agency, who will be required to obtain a permit for the sewage treatment plant. Inquire where they are along the process and how residents can get involved. SSTTTDC Contact Person: Jim Young. MassDEP Contact Person for permit related questions: Dave DeLorenzo. [617-292-5774/david.delorenzo@state.ma.us]
3. Who is the DEP independent observer for Wetlands Protection?	Sept. 11, 2008 (Att. #2, Item 5) Oct. 16, 2008 Parking Lot	At Sept. RAB meeting Dave DeLorenzo stated that he was working on a contract to get an independent observer (see Sept. 11, 2008 RAB minutes). See the January RAB summary for more detail.	Dave DeLorenzo to provide update at March RAB.

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
4. When will the runways be tested for contamination? And where are the test results for the runways that have already been torn up?	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 7)	Upper part of the N/S runway has already been tested and removed. MADEP approved two LNR requests (Feb. 28, 2007, Oct. 16, 2007) to demolish the remainder of runway. For more information, refer to Oct.2, 2008 Memo from David Ellis, Chief of Solid Waste Management Section, MA DEP, to Donald Cann, Rockland Open Space Committee.	Further questions related to runway should be directed to SSTTDC (J. Young) /LNR (R. Kleiman [617-249-1106/ kleimanre@gmail.com]. Demolition approval questions should be directed to Dave Ellis.
5. How are developers, businesses, and prospective residents being informed of the Superfund Sites on the property?	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 9)	(1) The Information Repositories will be available in the local libraries. (2) The Site Control Plan, which is part of the ROD, will include all restrictions and actions taken at the site. (3) Those buying homes or property for development will have information included in their deeds if required under CERCLA regulations.	None.
6. We want a re-evaluation/estimate of how much it will cost for clean up under LNR.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #2, Item 1)	At June 12, 2008 RAB meeting, D. Barney stated that the Navy's budgeting process had estimated that it would cost \$33 million to complete clean-up at all the remaining sites. P. McIntyre stated the LNR and SSTTDC would also run their own models of costs and would purchase insurance to cover any expected clean-up costs or amounts that exceeded the \$33 million. For more info. See June 12, 2008 RAB summary. See also Sept. 2008 RAB summary.	Refer further questions to LNR.

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
<p>7. Is AIG insuring the site? If so, are they viable?</p> <p>(Note: This question is in response to E.Kfoury comments at the June 2008 RAB meeting, where he explained that LNR would purchase Environmental Protection Program (EPP) insurance to assure that all actions are completed and all associated costs are paid in full.)</p>	<p>Oct. 16, 2008 Parking Lot</p>	<p>See June 2008 RAB minute summary for more info.</p>	<p>Refer further questions to LNR and SSTTDC.</p>
<p>8. Has the financial crisis at all affected LNR's ability to secure financing or get bonding?</p>	<p>Oct. 16, 2008 RAB meeting. Nov. 19, 2008 meeting with Jeanethe Falvey, EPA.</p>	<p>Refer the question directly to the developer.</p>	<p>Refer question to LNR.</p>
<p>9. Has an approved water source been found since the groundwater cannot be used? What is the timetable?</p>	<p>Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #2, Item 4)</p>	<p>The FEIR indicates that MWRA is the preferred water source. See also SSTTDC 5/18/07 letter responding to parking lot items.</p>	<p>Contact SSTTDC for more current information.</p>
<p>10. What if any, will the economic benefits of the site be?</p> <p>Does SSTTDC anticipate surplus revenues from the development?</p>	<p>Oct. 16, 2008 Parking Lot March 28, 2007 RAB parking lot letter to SSTTDC</p>	<p>Response provided to residents in writing by SSTTDC on May 18, 2007.</p>	<p>Residents should refer additional questions to SSTTDC.</p>

SEPT 11, 2008 MEMO and OCT. 16, 2008 PARKING LOT QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, REQUESTS	WHEN (Question or Comment Made)	BCT RESPONSE (Navy, EPA & DEP) (Provided to the Question or Comment)	NEXT STEPS or STATUS
11. The Public Benefit Conveyance had a provision requiring that open space areas be posted and identified within three months of conveyance. When will that occur?	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 10) Oct. 16, 2008 Parking Lot	The Navy acknowledges that the noted conditions are part of the approved PBC application for land from the NPS to the SSTTDC. SSTTDC is responsible for managing the property consistent with the PBC conveyance conditions as established by the NPS and agreed to by the SSTTDC. This concern should be directed to the SSTTDC and the NPS for resolution.	Residents should refer additional questions to SSTTDC and the National Park Service.
III. Other			
1. Where is the MA Dept. of Public Health Report? Can DEP insist on the release of the draft report if the final is not available?	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #2, Item 6)	Both DEP and EPA have checked on the status of this report on numerous occasions. The report is an independent study from DEP and EPH, and is currently being reviewed within MDPH. Neither DEP nor EPA can do more to expedite its release.	Further questions related to this report should be directed to the MDPH: Dr. Robert Knorr.
2. Whitman's Pond needs to be classified as an Area of Concern as it relates to any previous and subsequent contamination from activities on the Base and remediation efforts need to be brought forth appropriately.	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #1, Item 1)	Whitman's Pond is 2 ½ miles away from the Base and does not abut the Base. Samples from OSR, which drains to Whitman's Pond, found no contaminants of concern. The Navy, EPA and DEP do not have any information indicating that Whitman's Pond should be investigated as part of the base clean up. If community members have new information indicating that this conclusion should be reconsidered, the Navy, EPA and DEP would review the information and reconsider this conclusion. See the January RAB summary for more detail.	The BCT has determined that no action is necessary.
3. Does the Weymouth Water Department currently filter for contaminants listed in the groundwater on the base?	Sept. 11, 2008 Memo (Att. #2, Item 3)	Each municipal water supply, including Weymouth, provides residents with a list of all everything they test for, including contaminants.	Check with Dan McCormack or Individual town Water Departments.



**Naval Air Station South Weymouth
Weymouth, MA
Restoration Advisory Board
RAB Meeting Agenda**



January 8, 2009

Conference Center on Shea Memorial Drive

7:00 PM

<i>Agenda Items</i>	<i>Item Lead</i>	<i>Projected Time</i>
1. Introduction, Review of Meeting Notes	Facilitator	7:00 - 7:15
2. Discussion of questions and the September 11, 2008 letter attachments	Facilitator	7:15 – 8:15
3. Updates and Action Items	Navy	8:15 – 8:30
4. Questions, Agenda Items, Next Meeting	Facilitator	8:30 – 9:00

Facilitator: Mary Skelton-Roberts, Massachusetts Office of Dispute Resolution & Public Collaboration

Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) Members:

Abington: James Lavin, (Alternate: Steve Ivas); Phil Sortin (Alternate: Beth Sortin)

Hingham: no current representation

Rockland: no current representation

Weymouth: James Cunningham (Community Co-Chair); Ken Hayes; Dan McCormack; Steve White

Navy: Dave Barney (Navy Co-Chair)

EPA: Kymberlee Keckler (Alternate: Bryan Olson)

MA DEP: David Chaffin (Alternate: Ann Malewicz)

BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Points of Contact:

Navy: Dave Barney, BRAC Environmental Coordinator, Base Realignment and Closure Office, Program Management Office, Northeast (617) 753-4656
Email: david.a.barney@navy.mil

Brian Helland, Remedial Project Manager, Base Realignment and Closure Office, Program Management Office, Northeast (215) 897-4912
Email: brian.helland@navy.mil

MassDEP: David Chaffin, Environmental Engineer, Federal Facilities (617) 348-4005
Email: david.chaffin@state.ma.us

EPA: Kymberlee Keckler, Remedial Project Manager, Federal Facilities Section (617) 918-1385 Email: keckler.kymberlee@epa.gov

MassDEP Ombudsman: David DeLorenzo (617) 292-5774, Email: david.delorenzo@state.ma.us



Naval Air Station South Weymouth Restoration Advisory Board Action Item Tracking List



January 8, 2009 – Next RAB Meeting

<i>Action Item</i>	<i>Item Lead</i>	<i>Deadline</i>
ACTION ITEMS		
Provide the amount of natural habitat acreage.	SSTTDC	Next RAB
UPDATES		
RAB Administrative Actions	D. Barney	Each RAB
MA DEP Update	D. Chaffin	Each RAB
Coast Guard Buoy Facility Update	R. Marino	Each RAB
IR Program Sites Update	D. Barney	Each RAB
MCP Release Areas Update	D. Barney	Each RAB
EBS Review Item Areas/ Various Removal Action Update	D. Barney	Each RAB
FOST/FOSL Update	D. Barney	Each RAB
SSTTDC Update	J. Lavin/ S. Ivas	Each RAB
COMPLETED ITEMS		
Provide various maps with perimeter streets and an acronym list. (10/08)		
Review suggestions to enhance the public participation process. (9/08)		
Provide FOST 3 and 4 Responsiveness Summaries to M. Bromberg (9/08)		
Send email announcing availability of FOST 5A for review (9/08)		
Discuss the parties involved in the cleanup and development of the Base (9/08)		
Provide suggestions to improve the public participation process. (6/08)		
Check location/depth of peat moved to south end of runway. (5/08)		
Determine Navy's role in the Enabling Legislation. (5/08)		
Provide the AOC 55C HHRA to A. Hilbert, J. Rakers, H. Welch. (3/08)		
Investigate issues with movement of peat during development. (1/08)		
Provide copies of EPA health risk requested by M. Bromberg. (1/08)		
Review routing of piping between STP Site and French Stream. (11/07)		
Provide location of Basewide Assessment floc samples. (10/07)		
Provide copies of parking lot response letter. (10/07)		
Provide groundwater data for transferred land (10/07)		
MDPH MS Study update (8/07)		
List of AULs; what and where they are (4/07)		
Provide vernal pools map to J. Cunningham (4/07)		
Copies of figures from Old Swamp River Study by Beta Group, Inc (03/07)		
Provide Hydrogeologic Investigation Tech Memo to D. Galluzzo (03/07)		
Distribute monthly Navy program status/administrative items update (03/07)		
Provide blueprint of old STP to H. Welch (01/07)		
Distribute monthly Navy program status/administrative items update (01/07)		
Check status of NAS South Weymouth website (01/07)		
P. Scannell to provide the reference for the 1995 EPA study to D. Barney (11/06)		
Distribute monthly Navy program status/administrative items update (11/06)		
Were runways in the transferred land tested for fuel oil and PCBs? (11/06)		
1997 DEP letter re: non-potable drinking water source areas on the Base (11/06)		
Map showing sampling locations on the Base (11/06)		
Old Swamp River additional sample collection; data available? (11/06)		
Status of release of MDPH ALS/MS study (11/06)		