



# **FINAL MARE ISLAND NAVAL SHIPYARD Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) Meeting Minutes**

**HELD THURSDAY, January 31, 2013**

The Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) for former Mare Island Naval Shipyard (MINSY) held its regular meeting on Thursday, January 31<sup>st</sup>, at the Mare Island Conference Center, 375 G St., Vallejo, California. The meeting started at 7:01 p.m. and adjourned at 8:45 p.m. These minutes are a transcript of the discussions and presentations from the RAB Meeting. The following persons were in attendance.

**RAB Community Members in attendance:**

- Myrna Hayes (Community Co-Chair)
- Maurice Campbell
- Paula Tygielski
- Michael Coffey

**RAB Navy, Developers, Regulatory and Other Agency Members in attendance:**

- Janet Lear (Navy Co-Chair)
- Gil Hollingsworth (City of Vallejo)
- Steve Farley (Weston Solutions)
- Carolyn D'Almeida (U.S. EPA)
- Sheila Roebuck (Lennar Mare Island)
- Janet Naito (Department of Toxic Substances Control)
- Neal Siler (Lennar Mare Island)

**Community Guests in attendance:**

- Larry Asera
- Jim Porterfield
- Meka Bolds
- John McGuire
- Mike Chamberlain
- Timothy Cook
- Beth Flynn

**RAB Support from CDM Smith:**

- Ahnna Brossy (CDM Smith)
- Wally Neville
- Doris Bailey (Stenographer)

## **I. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Welcome, everyone. Thank you for coming out to the Mare Island Restoration Advisory Board. We start with introductions. I'm Janet Lear. I'm the Navy co-chair.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: I'm Myrna Hayes, and I'm the community co-chair, and I live in the town of Vallejo.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm Maurice Campbell, a community member.

MR. COFFEY: I'm Mike Coffey. I'm a RAB member from American Canyon.

MR. FARLEY: I'm Steve Farley with Weston.

MR. SILER: Neal Siler with Lennar Mare Island.

MS. NAITO: Janet Naito, California Department of Toxic Substances Control.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Gil Hollingsworth representing the City of Vallejo.

MS. FLYNN: I'm Beth Flynn with Caymex.

MR. PORTERFIELD: Jim Porterfield, ex-Mare Islander.

MR. COOK: Tim Cook from Cook Environmental Services.

MS. BOLDS: Meka Bolds, Cook Environmental Services.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Mike Chamberlain, Dihydro.

MS. ROEBUCK: Sheila Roebuck, Lennar Mare Island.

MR. MCGUIRE: John McGuire with Shaw.

MR. ASERA: My name is Larry Asera. We are a local business here on Mare Island. We're located at 500 Walnut Avenue. We're a local environmental technology company.

MS. TYGIELSKI: Paula Tygielski from Benicia.

## **II. PRESENTATION: *Field Work Update* Presentation by Ms. Janet Lear (Navy)**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Tonight, I just wanted to give an update of our field work that's coming up. This isn't a detailed technical presentation, more of an overview of what we have coming up. As you know, we do most of our field work in the spring and summer because of the weather conditions, bird nesting season, and the dredge ponds get awful muddy and difficult to work in. I wanted to just provide a brief summary of the upcoming field work, and a little bit of information on each of those sites and the schedule. I also wanted to go over some of the Navy travel constraints and some other things that are going on with the Department of Defense. We have quite a few things coming up. The first couple of slides here are just the list, and I'll go through each of them in a little bit of detail really quickly.

Our first field investigation is for the building M162 radiological survey. If you recall, this building is not on Navy property, it's on Lennar property currently, but it was used to temporarily store radiological items that were recovered during a removal action back in 2003. While we were doing some research on another project, we found some field data sheets that indicated some items had been stored in this building. So, we are going back to that building to do a

radiological survey just to make sure that the building wasn't impacted by that temporary storage. That field work is scheduled to begin at the end of next month.

We also have quite a few polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) sites, that we're doing work on over the next few months. Most of these, as you can see, are down in the north part of the island. Some of the work at these sites involves vault or cable inspections, concrete sampling, soil sampling, and potentially some remediation in terms of scabbling. Those twenty sites are expected to wrap up at the end of next month.

MR. COFFEY: Is that the end of PCBs then?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: No, there are a few more to do, but we're making a dent.

Building 742, the former degreasing plant, is a Navy-retained condition, it's actually within the Eastern Early Transfer Parcel. Back in 2010, the Navy did a removal there. We did some excavation and also injected some materials into the subsurface to reduce the chlorinated solvents in the soil and groundwater. We still need to do a little bit more out there. We're going to do some additional excavation. That field work is planned for March and April of this year. This figure shows basically what we're going to do. All this area within the dark line is going to be excavated and we'll be collecting some additional samples.

Also, moving to the south end of the island, Installation Restoration (IR) Site 04, which is just north of the Production Manufacturing Area (PMA), we're doing some data gaps investigations there. A Remedial Investigation (RI) was done at that site, but it was determined that there were some data gaps that we needed to go back to take care of. Operations at this site included sandblasting and painting. Field work includes sampling of groundwater, soil and soil gas, and a few test pits to investigate metals in soil. That work is scheduled to begin at the end of June and will continue through the end of August. The results of that will hopefully wrap up the RI and we'll be able to finalize that and move onto the next phase which would be the Feasibility Study (FS).

Now at the Investigation Area (IA) K munitions and explosives of concern (MEC), that's the offshore portion of the island along Mare Island Strait and Carquinez Strait, we'll be doing a munitions RI there, which mostly will involve geophysical surveys around Fleet Reserve Piers, Pier 34 and Pier 35. We'll be doing some work with this fancy piece of technology here, the Benthic crawler.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Did you dig that up along the shore somewhere?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: The crawler?

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Yeah, it looks like it.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Kind of looks like it. You never know what you are going to find when you start digging.

We'll be using this to get some geophysical data around these piers and beneath the pier overhangs. In areas where we have high density anomalies, we're going to do some intrusive investigation, fairly limited, mostly as a way of validating the geophysical survey. We really don't know if the crawler will work, it's a demonstration, and so we will see...

MR. COFFEY: Doesn't look very substantial.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: We will see if it works, and if it works, that's great and we'll finish the project using it.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Are we going to get a presentation on it or get to see it in action since it's a demonstration?

MR. COFFEY: Could we, please?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: You will definitely have a presentation on this, it's still in the work plan development stage, but I wanted to bring it up here because we're still planning to get in the field, and we hope to get in the field this summer. Yes, absolutely, when it's here you can see it and it can run around on the ground. It's made for under the water so it will be hard to see an actual demonstration.

MR. COFFEY: This actually goes under water?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Yes, that's what it's designed for.

MR. COFFEY: How big is this? Have you seen one?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: I haven't seen it. It hasn't been built yet. More information to come. So, the crawler and a suction pump will be used to recover items in some of the high density anomaly areas.

MS. NAITO: If it works.

MR. COFFEY: If it works.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: The sediment will be filtered to see what we get out of there, what kind of metallic objects we get. Then, of course, some samples will be collected and analyzed for explosives and metals. It's only going to take approximately two weeks, and that will be the first part of August.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Oh, good, it can demo during the Mare Fair.

MR. COFFEY: That's cool.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay. We had a presentation about the Dredge Pond 3E RI a few RABs ago, and we will have another one soon. That work will include geophysical surveying around the outfalls and along the edge of the dredge ponds, some radiological surveying, and an intrusive investigation of anomalies identified by those surveys. Some test pits, soil borings, and groundwater wells. The focus would be on the target berms, the firing ranges also at that location, as well as the dredge pond outfalls. The schedule for this field work to begin is during the summer (July, August, and September). This is just one of the many figures showing some of the work that will be done. You can see the firing range berms that we'll be investigating, the outfall area, and along the edges we'll be doing geophysical surveying as well.

So, the South Shore Area (SSA) RI, this is another one where the work plan is currently being developed but we hope to get out in the field this summer. Field activities are expected to include groundwater well installation and sampling, soil sampling, and a focused radiological survey. What I mean by that is one RAD item was found on the SSA back in the 1990s, however we haven't found anything out there in all the Swiss cheese that we've been making of the SSA over the past several months, but we're going to go back and do some more investigation in the area where that one item was found.

MR. COFFEY: Does it show that on here what area that was?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: This is the primer pit area where ordnance had been burned here. The RAD item was actually found up in this area.

Paint waste area (PWA) vicinity RI. You all remember the PWA. We did a time critical removal action (TCRA) out there that grew and grew and grew, and we found over one thousand RAD items. Well, we believe that we reached the edge of this disposal area, but to be sure, we're going to do some step-out work in the four acres to the north edge of the excavation. We'll do some more trenching, geophysical survey, radiological survey. We'll also install some wells because we don't have any groundwater data for this area. And, we'll do some soil sampling.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: How far out are you going to go? How much more are you going to dig out?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: We're going to investigate four more acres. But, it's not going to be the full scale excavation like the TCRA, the goal is to ensure that we reached to the northern edge. So, we'll be doing trenching and sampling and some surveys, but not the massive foot by foot excavation that the TCRA was.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Okay.

MR. COFFEY: What does the grid pattern designate?

MS. TYGIELSKI: All those diamonds.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: These are sample locations; the whole area was just gridded to select sample locations. You'll get more information on this as the Work Plan is developed.

MR. COFFEY: Okay.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: I don't even think we've seen an internal draft yet, so it will be a little bit out. As you can tell, we're very ambitious for the next couple of months to get all these documents and the people in the field.

MR. COFFEY: If you don't have any money that could be a problem.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Well, the projects that I'm talking about, all this money has already been awarded.

MR. COFFEY: Gotcha.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: So, once the money is awarded, it is hard for Department of Defense (DOD) to take it back. So, that's good, but it does mean we have to kick through a lot of Work Plans in the next couple of months and get these people out in the field. So, you've probably heard about these sites more than you ever wanted to; but as you know, the PMA and the SSA, we've been doing a removal action there for a very, very long time. We are getting towards the end, but we have a little bit more to do.

Battelle, one of the two companies that was working out there, part of their scope was to do under building clearance. They got all of the buildings done except for three, and then the rain started and they got flooded out. So, they're coming back to do those three buildings this Spring. The buildings are in an area that won't create any impact to non-Navy property, the Preserve.

Weston never left, they're still out there today working away. Part of what happened with this removal action is that it was designed to investigate anomalies that were identified during a 2006

geophysical survey. When we went out and started doing the work, and in the planning phases, we realized that there were some data gap areas where that survey hadn't collected data, mostly along the shoreline. So, part of what Weston is doing is addressing those data gaps. Their assigned grids, the ones that had already been picked from 2006, they're almost complete, but they still have to do both geophysical survey and intrusive investigations for the data gap areas. Because these areas are along the shoreline, the tides play a major factor. Hopefully, we'll be out of there by early summer. Safety arcs can potentially impact the Preserve occasionally, but it certainly won't be like it was last summer. We will let people know when we will impact the Preserve.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: When is that going to start?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: The impacts or the work? The work never stopped, they're still going.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: No, when will the case by case impact start?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: I will have to get back to you on that. I think it's really that case now because I think you were clear most of this week and most of last week.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Well, I didn't learn until Wednesday morning, because I didn't see my e-mail, but you just sent it out on Wednesday. If we could know before Wednesday or by Friday about the next week's dates, that's what we asked for actually all along.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: I think that would work.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Will do.

We have a few that I put on here that are labeled tentative, and that's because we actually haven't awarded the contracts yet. But they are still on our field schedule to get out there and start doing work this summer. This is a debris pile by building 505, which is the USGS building as most of you know. It was just a pile of construction debris, soil, rubble. And, there was some previous work done out there, but it was mainly just geologic logging and trenches. So, this time around we're going to collect some soil samples to see if there's anything within the rubble pile so we can dispose of it.

Then the lingering underground storage tank (UST) Site at the north end of the island, UST 993-4. That's the service station convenience store site.

MR. COFFEY: Just dig the damn thing up already.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: The Draft Final Closure Report for this was submitted in December and we thought we were done. We did receive some comments that required additional sampling, and we will be going back to collect soil and soil gas under the convenience store itself.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: This is just a slide to remind me to talk about a couple of things. Navy travel constraints. I've been talking about this for a while that our travel budgets are getting reduced, et cetera. It has gotten pretty intense in the last three, four weeks. Because of the situation in Washington, D.C., everybody is very intent on reducing budgets and getting prepared for what could potentially happen in March, if the budget isn't approved. So, when our group decides we need to travel, as of last week our travel requests go all the way to Washington D.C., and they are looked at line by line by line, who's going, how much it's going to cost, what they're doing, why they need to go. So, that's why I'm here by myself.

I will tell you that some of the RAB meeting requests came back with comments on them like, "Maybe you should postpone until after this period," because we have until, you know, April 30th to figure out the budget situation. It's not going to impact us, because on March 28<sup>th</sup>, the next RAB meeting date, we're having a Proposed Plan (PP) meeting for the Marine Corps Firing Range (MCFR), which is a public meeting. So, I'll definitely be up here for that, so we'll still have the RAB meeting. But, I'm expecting that there will be a lot of pressure on these kinds of things in the future. I just wanted to let you know that that's why I'm here by myself. I'm sure you guys have all heard about the budget situation and the potential furloughs for civilian employees of DOD, of the Federal Government as a whole. So it's a possibility that starting around April, the civilian employees at BRAC PMO, myself, your Navy RPM's, could be --

MR. COFFEY: Grounded.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: -- grounded, yes.

MR. COFFEY: We could have all our meetings by Skype.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: We would have fewer days to work on the projects, et cetera. Also, the increased budget scrutiny also affects our environmental budgets. Charles Perry told you about the budget process when he was here. As you recall, we have a planned budget, but until that money is actually awarded, there could be changes. So, I wanted to let you know that we're all working very hard to get all of our planned budget executed, which means out to the contractors as fast as possible, because anything that's laying on the table is going to be up for grabs, that's what they're telling me. So, Heather is probably working on a scope of work, right now.

We are doing the best we can with what we've got. And we've got a lot of projects going in the field this summer, so we're still moving ahead. Are there any questions about any of that?

MR. CAMPBELL: I have a few questions. On this sequestration.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: There is a part about community relations, and you were talking about budgetary constraints and what's taking place. We as a RAB can request information to be forwarded through you to higher authority to find out what's taking place; because, as people have known, Vallejo has not been in the best of conditions, and I think the New York Times, Newsweek, et cetera, has written up on it. Now, there are other things that have taken place. During the South Pacific there were a lot of ships that came back from the atomic test zone that were sandblasted. Do we have a listing of what ships came here, et cetera? I mean if the Navy is going to push, then we'd like to push back and get some answers. We'd like to find out what was cleaned here and what happened to the debris of those ships. We know about some of those at Hunter's Point. Now, if ships came back to Hunter's Point to be sandblasted, I'm sure some ships came here. You probably don't have the answer, but that's something the Navy should have records of.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you want me to forward you this?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: You can if you like, I will research it for you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: I'm responding to "the Navy is going to push we're going to push back," that part of the comment.

MR. CAMPBELL: Right. Well, we have a Flyway Festival coming up, budgetary constraints the Navy has withdrawn from that; am I correct?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Yes, I am not authorized to participate in the Flyway Festival this year.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, there are certain responsibilities to the RAB to the community relations plan and we'd like to, I can't speak, Myrna, I have to go through you and have a request. Because that's the goodwill to the community and how the community gets to know what's going on.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: That's true.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Yes, you know, I will be happy to take your concerns up the chain, Maurice. We all understand that the DOD is in a serious situation right now, and there's very little that I can do, my bosses can do, BRAC PMO West can do. The furlough situation is going to be decided by the White House, it's not even within DOD. So, I can certainly pass up your concerns. And, I have concerns as well.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: It's difficult for the Navy RPM's to do their jobs properly if they aren't allowed to come to the site. It's difficult to have conversations with the regulators over the phone, it's much better to do things in person. I'm sure you all know that. We can all sit around a table and look at the same figures and maps and make decisions together. It's a lot easier to do in person. You know, these presentations are important. So, I have voiced my concerns to my management, and I know they voiced it up the chain. I will continue to do that on your behalf. But, until the budget is approved, I don't see much of a change coming, at least in the next couple of months. Hopefully, things will settle down once things are decided and then we can work to get things back on track. Did you have a question, Mike?

MR. COFFEY: Well, just for the record, I mean, my take on this is that the Navy and the Federal Government has a certain level of responsibility, not just for the site, but to respond to us. I mean the whole purpose of the RAB being set up as far back...

CO-CHAIR HAYES: It's a law.

MR. COFFEY: Yeah, it's a law. And, just to all of the sudden say, "Well, guess what, guys, there are budgetary problems, so we're sorry." Because you guys have a budgetary responsibility, you have a responsibility to us first and foremost. I mean there are a lot of places to cut a budget and to scale back on stuff. As Maurice said, goodwill and responsibility towards this part of the people, if you want, for lack of better words, this should be the primary, foremost responsibility.

MR. CAMPBELL: Another question. Should we have a formal motion on this, a formal request on it?

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Sure.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: You guys can offer the motion.

MR. COFFEY: Go ahead.

MR. CAMPBELL: Janet, I'd like to have a motion that you request a couple of things from the Navy. Number one on community relations, that the Flyway Festival has been a way of the Navy reaching the community through us. We seem to see a lack of communications. Per BRAC law, the community RAB and the responsibilities for us meeting is for communications to the community. If there is going to be cleanup items, et cetera, we want to make sure that there is full communications. We also want historic information like ships were in the atomic test area, they came back to the Bay Area, I know of Hunter's Point, I know of what took place there. I believe that some of the ships came here. And, the Navy has very accurate records of where those ships were. Were they sandblasted here, some of them? If so, what happened?

MS. TYGIELSKI: Sure.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Is that a motion?

MR. CAMPBELL: That's a motion. The motion is that we want answers and we also want community outreach, you know, enforced.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Anybody second that?

MR. COFFEY: Second for sure.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Discussion?

MR. COFFEY: Well, discussion to follow.

MS. TYGIELSKI: Communications with the populace around this area is crucial.

MR. COFFEY: It's the whole purpose.

MS. TYGIELSKI: I mean, that's the whole purpose of this RAB. And, it is a law to have this RAB and communicate with your neighbors. And they really shouldn't let the budget cut that out.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: And it hasn't. It hasn't. I'm still here.

MR. COFFEY: But, you're preparing us for the worst case scenario.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: We're pushing back right now by saying it shouldn't, you know.

MS. TYGIELSKI: It needs to be a priority.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay. Maybe I should have prefaced this discussion by saying I'm not trying to tell you that the RAB is going to be ended or anything like that.

MR. COFFEY: Or, even postponed.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Or, even postponed. But, I'm using that as an example to share with you the kind of scrutiny that is being put on the environmental budget.

MR. COFFEY: And, what we're saying is that this is the Navy's responsibility to communicate with us, first and foremost. And, that even as part of the budgetary process, the scrutiny should be weighed a little bit more heavily in our favor.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Well, and it's the law. It used to be guidance, but now it's...

MR. COFFEY: That doesn't seem to matter anymore, Myrna.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: I know. But it's the law. Now, there is resource. I think the message that I might send along is that in times of budgetary crisis, and don't think that our state hasn't already experienced that, and our City hasn't already experienced that, and one of our developers hasn't already experienced that, and probably many of our homes; but the fact is that, one of the most important things that this body can do is to weigh or balance the message about what mission is. And, mission sounded like it was the field, spend the money, get the people in the field, get the cleanup done. But, the transparency that needs to go with that, every regulator that I've ever worked with, you know, at the state level, for example, California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA), or United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) level, will say that, and even Navy, I think, will say that many, many projects are better because we were at the table. And, I might use as illustration most recently, pushing back to the Chief of Naval Operations, Secretary of Navy, and Secretary of Defense on a project where we swept their highest awards this summer. Okay. That was because we, the people, the community, the affected community were involved and we were here for the long haul. We didn't go away. Paula and I have been here, in April, 19 years.

MS. TYGIELSKI: Yeah.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: We're still coming here and we're still having a voice and making a project better. So come and talk to us before, now more than ever before you just turn...

MS. TYGIELSKI: We'll have good ideas about how to structure your budget. We all budget all the time. I'm sure that your average citizen can budget better than your politician can. And, I know for a fact over the last 19 years, every now and then, it seems like most of the time I'm sitting here bored, but every now and then something happens, and I'll ask a question. And, I'll ask a question and things change because of it. You know, like the sampling and cleanup of the school changed because I asked about, "Have you taken into account that school children garden?" They had never thought of that, the kid's garden in the school grounds.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: And, we thank you for your input.

MS. TYGIELSKI: But things changed because I asked that question, you know.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: I know you guys have been so dedicated to this process. I know you've made a lot of good input and changes to the situation.

MR. COFFEY: So, the message is, you know, okay, budget cuts here and there, but hey, come on.

MS. TYGIELSKI: Don't cut us out of the budget.

MR. COFFEY: There's a responsibility here.

MS. TYGIELSKI: You know we will have good input.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Well, I'm going to give you one illustration today because this topic, you're standing there all by yourself, Janet. But, I had occasion to meet in the City Manager's conference room with a group of people who are equally as dedicated, I think, as these good people are. They've been tasked for the first time in U.S. city history to review the hundreds, if not thousands of good ideas that citizens of the town put on the table regarding how to best spend \$3.2 million. Now, I'm sure that most of staff in the City Hall are chafing at that process. But these people had tremendously good ideas. Some of them were kooky. And, one of them was that we should use participatory budgeting funds to fly back to D.C., send a whole contingency

back there, and beat up the Navy. And, obviously it's because of the environmental cleanup that Vallejo is stalled.

I'm going to just use one illustration that's quite near and dear to my heart. That is the houses at the South end of the island. Those houses were cleared with the Technical Memorandum (Tech Memo) in January of 2009. Now what they need, desperately, are the next steps that our Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) representative at the time said they needed, they need the same thing as Roosevelt Terrace needed; the Navy doesn't acknowledge asbestos as a cleanup issue under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), and it doesn't acknowledge lead in soil as a cleanup issue under CERCLA.

So, those houses are sitting there unable to budge and they're quite convenient for PMO staff. They can go in there and mow and getting a mowing contract and disturb the Great Blue Herons nests and make them all go away. Maybe that was why, because we aren't even allowed to get Audubon Canyon Ranch staff in anymore to evaluate what might be happening to these old, old, old rookeries. 86-year-old women are remembering not wanting to go by the cemetery as children because the Great Blue Heron rookery scared them. These are long-time parts of this community that we're talking about here. They're gone. None of them are flying in the skies; they ought to be getting ready to nest.

We've asked for years for goats, can't talk about goats, because we have a mower contract who's a pal of ours or something. We can't have the houses. We can't have a license, even though there's a lease in furtherance of conveyance (LIFOC) on the property, generally an agreement, we can't have a license to go in as a community with any professionals we know and come up with a team that goes in and takes a look at what the cost is going to be to evaluate those houses and get them ready for the transfer day. Instead they're being held as like a human shield, a house shield between us and the environmental cleanup.

Well, now you have some tough calls to make. You have property right there you could transfer and you could work with members of the community to cut that property loose sooner than later. These are the things that I'm not going to blame past decisions on, and they were partly in cahoots with the City of Vallejo because they didn't really want to take the property on. But we have to play creatively now, and we have to play efficiently, and we're still going to be the voice for that. Because those houses are sitting there rotting. They're costing you money. And, instead, they could be being used as a resource. They could be being kept from any greater damage. Eventually, like if one is an adobe house and you're screwing with that house, it's going to come back to bite PMO West. It's going to bite somebody because it's not going to be tolerated, I don't think, to just let them continue to fall into decline. So, at some point we need to come to the table and continue the dialogue.

That's just an example. I mean, there might be lots of other properties where the City is chafing to get some acreage somewhere. Gil's boss claimed that the only reason that nothing was moving on Mare Island was because of you and you; that all you guys do is you sit there, and you have three months, and every time you get a document you take the full three months, and everything is in slow gear because of State and Federal regulators. And, it isn't the Navy, and don't go back and bother the Navy in D.C., go bother the State and Federal regulators, they are who are screwing with things. Now where does she get that information? These are the reasons why I think the RAB can act as a monitor, a gauge, and a door to open for really sincere dialogue

and not just, stuff that goes on. I think some real stuff could get done and we've already demonstrated that.

MR. COFFEY: Message received?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Message received. Thank you.

Neal Siler will now give a presentation on the Eastern Early Transfer Parcel and its path forward.

**III. PRESENTATION: *Eastern Early Transfer Parcel Update and Path*  
Presentation by Mr. Neal Siler (Lennar Mare Island)**

MR. SILER: I did want to say something that I haven't been able to say since the days of Michael Bloom; the Navy is a tough act to follow, I don't care what anybody says.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: You hold your own all right, Neal. You've followed lots of people and you've been able to hang in there.

MS. TYGIELSKI: You make us laugh, Neal.

MR. SILER: I try.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: That's the problem.

MR. SILER: Okay. As Janet had mentioned, what I'm going to talk about tonight is the Eastern Early Transfer Parcel (EETP) and some of the accomplishments and the work that we performed in 2012, and the path forward in 2013 and beyond. I'm going to talk about those things, and after we're done I'll entertain any questions that you would have.

Okay. Just to refresh you on the EETP, which is the parcel that Lennar Mare Island is responsible for; it covers an area of about 650 acres and is broken up into smaller units which are called investigation areas for environmental cleanup. Currently, there are twelve investigation areas. If you look at this map, they're color coded into the state that they're in. The blue areas are areas that have received no further action (NFA) from the regulatory agencies. There are five areas that we have received NFA, and then a portion of a sixth area we've also received NFA certification for. The areas that are color coded in green are areas where we're getting close to getting NFA, but we're not quite there. Then, the areas in yellow, those two areas, C-1 and C-2, those are areas where we still have a number of items to complete before we get to NFA certification. Then, if you look at the sites that are on this map, these are sites that we've worked at in 2012. But, you should be aware that there are quite a few more sites that are required to have work performed at them prior to getting closure at the site.

So, moving onto the next slide, the field work performed. We've run the gamut of types of work, investigations, remedial actions, and we've done some compliance monitoring work at the site this year. So, that gives you a little idea of the type of things that we've done over the time period.

And, then when we do this work, and actually before we even start this work, there are a number of documents that we have to prepare, or after we do the work there are a number of documents that we have to prepare that say what we did. So, it's kind of like here's what we're going to do, here's how we did it, here's what we did, and can we get closure for it. The next slide goes over some of those reports that you see that we've done in 2012. Again you have remedial action implementation reports. You have planning documents that say here's the type of work we're going to do out into the field as we go forward, so that the community and the regulatory

agencies can approve that work as we move forward, and also can certify that the work that we've completed has met the goals that we said it would meet to be able to close the site. Then because of that, we were able to actually achieve some NFA or regulatory agency concurrence in 2012. The most significant one there is that one section of IA D1.3 North, we were able to close that site out. And, a number of different areas, the Historic Independence Wharf Area, some UST sites, some PCB sites, and some other sites that we closed on the EETP.

What I'm going to segue into now is the path forward for 2013. The next slide kind of gives you some background. As many of you are aware, that the environmental investigations, remediation, compliance, and closure activities performed by the Navy prior to April of 2001, when the responsibility for that work was transferred to the City of Vallejo and then to Lennar Mare Island as part of an early transfer process. Now, in 2002, 650 acres of land, which was the EETP, was transferred to the City of Vallejo and then to Lennar Mare Island with the proviso that Lennar Mare Island would be responsible for the environmental cleanup moving forward under certain limitations, and with the provision that the Navy would provide the funding for that. So, although we've accomplished a lot of work since that time, there's still a lot of work that needs to be done. The original Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement (ESCA) that was provided for this, and the funding that the Navy provided, those funds were expended in late 2011. So, to move forward we had to come up with another plan and to amend the amount of money that was in the ESCA. So, the Navy, the City of Vallejo, and Lennar Mare Island sat down over the last year and a half, and came up with some additional money that would be put forward to achieve closure of the EETP. Myrna.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Does that include any kind of insurance protection like you had in your first phase of it? And if it does, what is it?

MR. SILER: Well, just to give you an idea of where the insurance is, the \$78.4 million, you can see the \$57.1M, that's the conditions. The difference in there, the vast portion of that is to buy insurance policies.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: I know.

MR. SILER: There were three insurance policies that were procured. There was a remediation stop loss policy, which is for cost overruns on the known conditions. There was a long-term groundwater monitoring policy, which set forth some additional money to do groundwater monitoring in the future. Then there was an environmental liability insurance policy that was for the unknown conditions as we move forward. The remediation stop loss policy and the long term groundwater monitoring policy expired in 2011. So, those policies aren't available. We still have the environmental liability insurance policy for the unknowns, but this \$8 million here, that does not include any premiums for any additional policies.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Okay. And it's all for known?

MR. SILER: That's all for known work, yes.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: All right. So long term groundwater monitoring doesn't have any more money, where do you get the money for it? Long term implies that it was going to go on.

MR. SILER: That is included in this \$8 million.

So, how we move this process forward was that Lennar Mare Island, the City of Vallejo, and the Navy negotiated a scope of work that was capable of being put out and competitively bid to a

number of different contractors. We actually put out four separate scopes of work. Those were for characterization, remediation and regulatory closure of IA C-1, IA C-2, and then we lumped the other IAs (B.1, B.2-2, C-3, D1.3 and H2) into another contract, and that was bid.

In addition, we also had some overarching services that we needed somebody to oversee, and that's what's called the EETP-wide services. That does include the long term groundwater monitoring. It includes some database management because we have an extensive database, and we wanted to be able to maintain that database moving forward. There are also some quarterly reporting requirements that the Navy has asked for. In this scope of work, the Navy is going to be involved much more than they were. They're going to be looking into approvals to do work as we move forward. They're going to be looking into review, comment, and approval of certain documents. Then, we have some reporting conditions that we have when we're reporting back to the Navy. So, that scope of work was bid. We got proposals and bids back in. Then we negotiated a final scope of work, which was negotiated from the time we got the bids back, which was August through November. Then we actually finalized that scope of work in November, and the Navy approved the contract award amounts in January of 2013.

So, the path forward for 2013 and beyond. We have a selected contractor for that work, and we have them under contract, and they will be doing the long term groundwater monitoring at three sites, IR-03, industrial pump station number four, T-2 oil-water separator, and IR-15. They'll be doing some other EETP-wide responsibility and services that I had talked about previously. In addition, we actually had them do some known condition work which was continuation of the remediation at the IR Site 15. They did such an efficient job that we took that out of the services for IA C-1 and actually gave that part of the contract to them. So, they're going to be doing that portion of the work.

Now, the other three contracts, the characterization, remediation, and regulatory closure services for the IA's that still require closure, we have actually negotiated the final contracts for those. Those contracts are out for signature, and hopefully we will get those back sometime in early February. What they'll be doing is performing investigations, remediation, and completing reports. The main thrust of this work moving forward, because most of the physical work has been completed, is getting reports, including the IA-wide implementation reports which will actually combine all the known and unknown work that we're doing. And the unknowns will still be bid to multiple contractors as we move forward. They'll be preparing reports. Those reports will be approved by the regulatory agencies, and that will be combined by these contractors that will be putting everything together.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Now I'm not quite sure of your role, I mean not you personally but, well, you and Lennar's role. I mean, it seems kind of like you're the Navy's kind of a super contractor now, letting the subcontractor bids out. Why are you necessary?

MR. SILER: Well, the reason is because we're still responsible for the environmental cleanup, that's the reason why. Actually Gil's the one that's not necessary. That's why he's leaving.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: That's why he's laughing.

MR. SILER: That's why he's so happy.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Well, we're not necessary, he's not, you are though, good for you there, you negotiated well. Actually, I still have some heartburn, a little burr under my saddle about the fact that we didn't know that these negotiations were going on. The RAB law requires early and

often communication about environmental cleanup issues on Mare Island. This is typical of the players, the cast of characters that gathered together to negotiate all of this. We knew nothing of it. It's disappointing to me, and it shows a lack of sincerity in my opinion. So, I'll go on the record for that. I'm happy to hear that the Navy has somehow decided that they want to be involved in some sort of oversight; but then that means that we, as the RAB, as the Navy's partners, and we were supposed to be part of the agreement to support and honor the two early transfers; was that the public wouldn't know the difference between the EETP, the WETP, and the ongoing Navy work when it came to a public persona and a public communication. That's the path that we chose to go down in supporting those two early transfers. So, I am disappointed. It isn't right, and I hope you're going to try to make it up to us by being much more transparent now that the money's in your pockets.

MR. SILER: Well, just to be clear, there's no money in our pockets, there's money in a trust account that goes forward to do the environmental cleanup work.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: I just wanted to also clarify that with the WETP, the Navy has always been reviewing certain documents and been more involved. So, with this new first amendment, the EETP will be handled a lot more like the WETP, whereas before there was quite a bit of difference in the way the Navy interacted in the two early transfers.

MR. SILER: CH2M Hill constructors will still be involved in this project and they'll retain some responsibility for certain sites and duties that they have agreed to close out, including building 461, a few fuel oil pipeline (FOPL) sites, and a few PCB sites where they believe they can achieve paper closures without doing anymore work. The regulatory agencies will still be involved. The DTSC will still be the lead agency, supported by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), and the U.S. EPA. Again, I mentioned the Navy's expanded role. They'll be involved in review on certain documents and approval on others. They will be able to have some flexibility in using funds as we move forward, and also disbursement of funds as the costs are incurred and work is performed.

The contracts are going to be executed in February. We plan to have a kick-off meeting with the consultants soon after they come on board. We want to schedule a meeting with the regulatory agencies so that they can understand the schedule as things move forward. And then right now we do have a schedule for moving forward, as you can see below. That's the estimated time it will take to close out the remainder of the IAs that we don't have NFA certification for right now. So, if anybody has any additional questions I'd be glad to answer them now.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Is this true it sounds like that everything from here on out is almost all paperwork? Them taking the three month vacation to read things, and then three more months, and then...

MR. SILER: The regulatory agencies that I know of have never taken a vacation from reading any of my documents, so I'm not aware of that.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: No, but I'm teasing. I mean, for a lot of the other WETPs, for example, that were lumped together, it really is the going back now and going through the CERCLA process after doing some non-time critical removals. So, is that pretty much what we're looking at here, or will there be earth moving still?

MR. SILER: There's still going to be some. At some sites there's going to be some work of the known conditions, and there's probably going to be some additional work for the unknown

conditions as we move forward. So, the earth moving work is not completely over. But, the vast majority of the work that is part of this contract moving forward to close out the known conditions is essentially paperwork. And, it could be anything from finalizing the Remedial Action Plans (RAP) for IA C-1 and C-2. Once we do that, a lot of the documents are just going to fall into place, and then we'll need approval from the agencies, and they'll need to go through the entire public comment process. So, that's one of the first tasks we're going to try to get done so that the documents can be moved forward. But the vast majority of this moving forward is going to be paperwork.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Then do you have, with your partner the City of Vallejo, these field of dreams kind of conferences where you think about what you're going to do when all this paperwork is done, what you're going to do with the property, what your plans are in these three to four years for these properties?

MR. SILER: All I can tell you about the plans moving forward, it would mirror what's on the land use plan for 2000. So, areas that are designated for commercial/industrial reuse will still be put forward for commercial/industrial reuse. Areas that are set for residential reuse, those are going to be put forward as residential reuse. How those specifics of those projects go moving forward I couldn't tell you because I'm just not involved in the day-to-day planning of those items.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Well, the reason I ask is because, you know, I'm not really making fun of Gil's boss. I think she made a really good point, but I think that there's some opportunity for public information. The economic development director gave a really lengthy, comprehensive presentation at the City Council a few months ago, and I think her message was very much like the message to the participatory budgeting committee today that met with her, and that is what I perceive as laying a lot of the City's woes on Mare Island at the feet of the environmental cleanup process. On the other side of the river it's at the feet of code enforcement. Why don't they clean it up and make the town beautiful and wonderful? But, here always it's the environmental cleanup that gets accused of preventing progress. However, in her session with council as well as her comments today, she stated, for example, that they had several potential businesses, one of which was a million square foot distribution center; and it was actually the fact that the City's permitting process sucked compared to Tracy's, and its entitlement structure sucked, and its specific plan and general plan sucked, and the final suckee was that, if it needed pilings it super sucked. And, it wouldn't be competitive. So, how do we get this message out to decision makers and spokespeople and really thoughtful, intelligent, articulate, handsome people who are representing our community and making decisions that are saying that the environmental cleanup and DTSC and U.S. EPA and the Navy and all of these bad people are running around screwing us up is really just a fact of physics or something. You build on bay mud, you gotta put pilings in. How do we kind of turn that perception around? And, again, I think that's what the RAB has done a really brilliant job of over the years, getting some good press on the technology used on environmental cleanup and on the jobs created by it and those sorts of things. That's why I asked you, going down the road, you get to a point where environmental cleanup can't be the whipping boy anymore, and then where do you envision yourselves being. So it's probably not appropriate to talk about that here, but I just did.

MR. SILER: Does anybody else have any other questions?

(No response.)

MR. SILER: Well, thank you very much.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Thank you, Neal. So this is our first public comment period. Do we have any comments?

(No response.)

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay. Ten minute break. There are some cookies in the back room there on the table.

(Thereupon there was a brief recess.)

#### **IV. ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS (Myrna Hayes and Janet Lear)**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay, administrative business. As always, if you have any comments on the meeting minutes from last time, please get those to Myrna or myself. We are now at focus group reports. And we do not have a community focus group leader as yet.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: No. The one who was so enthusiastic about it never came back.

MR. COFFEY: Scared him away.

#### **V. FOCUS GROUP REPORTS**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay. So I guess we'll move right down to technical report.

##### **a) Technical Report (Paula Tygielski)**

MS. TYGIELSKI: Nothing to report.

##### **b) City Report (Gil Hollingsworth)**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Okay. Gil, does the City have anything to report?

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Nothing to report.

##### **c) Lennar Update (Neal Siler)**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Lennar update.

MR. SILER: Okay. Everybody for the Lennar update should have this 11x17 figure. There are a couple of items if you're looking in the upper left-hand corner and then onto the right of the document matrix, there's some work that we're doing at building 388, Q388 FOPL's. You can see where the FOPL has been exposed and cut. And then the one in the lower right-hand corner, which shows some of the material that's still in the FOPL lane, it's fuel oil but it's solidified fuel oil. So, we're working to get that remediated. Then in the upper right-hand corner, that was one of the buildings that was demolished over the last few months, building 689. You can see a picture of what it looked like prior to demolition, and then the picture below it is the picture during demolition.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: And is that for environmental cleanup?

MR. SILER: Well, there's an environmental component to that with the asbestos and the lead based paint that gets surveyed and abated prior to the demolition. So it's all part of that as we move forward with the redevelopment of Mare Island.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: I mean, this is what environmental money went for?

MR. SILER: Not the environmental money from the Navy, this is all Lennar funds that go for this.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: I see. I was trying to figure out what the context was of the figure.

MR. SILER: Okay. Then the document matrix and the field work matrix in the lower left-hand corner. You can see there were a number of documents that were submitted. There are some documents that were finalized in that time period, and some that have been submitted and are undergoing review by the regulatory agencies. In fact, my diligent regulatory agency personnel were out with me today looking at a couple of these sites so that we could move them forward.

Upcoming documents. You can see there are a few documents we're trying to get through so we can move forward on some additional investigations. There's quite a bit of fieldwork that was implemented for the last few months, including a number of PCB sites and a number of FOPL sites.

Upcoming fieldwork. There's quite a bit of remediation coming up and some investigation work at some unknown sites that we can get to remediation. And, then we have one closure to report. We were able to close a cistern on the building 688 area in IA-C2, and that's Cistern M7, and we were able to close that site out in the last month.

So, if anybody has any questions, on the work that's been moving along, I'd be glad to entertain any questions, provide a response. If not, we'll pass it onto the next person who is Mr. Steve Farley to my left.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Weston update, Steve.

MR. FARLEY: Okay. There's a handout, I'm hoping everyone got one. If not, they're over on the table. We will go through the document status first. The two After Action Reports, at the top are Munitions Reports, these summarize the removals that was done. Those were reported back in the November RAB meeting as well. The Year Two Annual Monitoring Report for the IR-05 Wetland Mitigation was one of the documents that we submitted and reported on in November. And, that now has gone to the agencies.

You'll see that down underneath towards the bottom of the handout. The Draft FS for IR Site 05, Dredge Pond 7 South, and the Western Magazine Area, and the IA-H1 Post Closure Plan, those were submitted, they're being reviewed. Those also were reported on last time as being documents that had been submitted to the agencies. The Final RI report for the IR Site 05, et cetera, the WETP Second Five-Year Review, and the Year Five Annual Monitoring Report are also being reviewed by the agencies. The Year Two Annual Monitoring Report, that was a document that was submitted to the Navy prior to November, and it's now in the hands of the agencies, so that one is moving along. The WETP, 2012 Annual Report, that's a new document that has been submitted since the last RAB meeting, and there was also an IA H-1 First Five Year Review, that was in the agency review and now it's been submitted for final approval.

Happy to say that the San Pablo Bay trail work is going on. Weston continues to inspect and maintain that trail. Access, I think, for the folks who have been out there, they note that the access is over on A Street and Azuar Drive out to the west of Azuar Drive. And the H-1 Containment Area, that work is still going on. It's similar to what's been reported for some time now. Weston continues to inspect it, operate it, maintain it, and since the start-up in '05, on the order of 31 million gallons of water have been collected and discharged to the sanitary sewer system at the City. Then on the lower right is, as it's titled, a waterfowl enjoying Wetland B in

IA-H1. I'm not sure what waterfowl anybody here can see. But, let's just make it clear for the record that we have talked about it and I did not take that photograph.

MS. TYGIELSKI: I see little specks.

MR. FARLEY: I think you can.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: It more or less looks like a Lake Berryessa mobile home park to me.

MR. FARLEY: That photo was taken today, so it's current, it looks nice.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: But you don't know what the specks are.

MR. FARLEY: Right. They could just be decoys out there, I just don't know.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Well, we're expecting the photos on the Weston update to vastly improve.

MR. FARLEY: I actually volunteered to go out and take the photo once I saw it, but it was too late. Anyway, so those are the updates. And if anybody has any questions, I'd be happy to entertain them.

(No response.)

MR. FARLEY: No. And I'll just mention one thing. The Flyway Festival. I'm glad to be able to help out again this year.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Yeah.

**d) Regulatory Agency Update (Janet Naito, Elizabeth Wells, Carolyn D'Almeida)**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Regulatory update.

MS. D'ALMEIDA: I have been working very hard to delay progress on the site.

(LAUGHTER.)

MS. D'ALMEIDA: Yeah, it's all my fault. No, not really. In the last month, I think we got like seven PCB reports reviewed for the Navy, and I had to return about half of them because they needed more characterization. There was not enough sample data really to make a decision. So yeah, it's all my fault. Next.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Feels better now; doesn't it?

MS. D'ALMEIDA: To just get it off my chest.

MS. NAITO: I'm giving the report for the Water Board. Elizabeth couldn't be here tonight but she left me her speech. During the past two months, Elizabeth has been working on a tentative waste discharge requirement rescission. They are preparing a tentative order to rescind the waste discharge requirements order 97-100 which was issued to the Navy in August of 1997 for the construction, operation, and monitoring of a soil treatment facility in building A-258. The Navy operated the soil treatment facility in conjunction with the University of California at Davis where a variety of treatment technologies for petroleum impacted soils were tested, including enhanced bioremediation through the addition of rice hulls.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: I think the guy's name was Dr. Mike Johnson.

MS. NAITO: It could have been, it was before my time on this project. The Navy treated soils containing petroleum including gasoline, gasohol, jet fuel, diesel, kerosene, and fuel oils. No

hazardous waste was accepted for treatment. And, contaminated soils contained no free liquids. Since the soil treatment facility is no longer used, and no waste materials remain within the building, although the exact date of facility closure is unknown, the Navy records indicate that no petroleum impacted soils were treated after 1997; therefore, the 1997 order is no longer applicable. So, Elizabeth is in the process of preparing a tentative Rescission Order. Once that's done, the Tentative Rescission Order will be released for a 30 day public comment period. This will be noticed on the Water Board website, and e-mailed or mailed to the various different RAB members. She anticipates doing that this month, in February. She'll respond to any comments received after that, and they are hoping to present the order to the Water Board for adoption in April. So, that's her time frame.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Is that a meeting we're supposed to attend?

MS. NAITO: No, you don't have to attend it, but if you have comments or serious comments or feelings about this, you're welcome to attend. She also, as Neal mentioned, completed the NFA package for Cistern M7. She has reviewed reports and responses to comments for IR 04, building 742, and IA K. Each year she usually at this time brings a copy of the "Pulse of the Estuary" which is the annual publication by the San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI). She said you can download the report from SFEI's website, but she'll bring hard copies to the next meeting. And, that's it for her.

Now my report. I'm here to report that I'm really sorry, I haven't gotten to a lot of different reports, so yes, I am also responsible for delaying. Unfortunately, my boss accepted a promotion, and so she needed somebody to take over her previous job.

MR. HOLLINGSWORTH: Is that Ms. Cook?

MS. NAITO: Yes, that's Ms. Barbara Cook. She's now our Assistant Deputy Director. So, congratulations to her, it was well deserved. Unfortunately, that leaves our branch without a Branch Chief. So, there was a small room involved and a locked door, and I agreed to act until they can hire somebody more permanently. So, if you have a problem with my performance on this project, you get to complain to me. So, I'm going to do my best to keep up with everybody, but Neal, no meetings in the next two weeks.

## **VI. CO-CHAIR REPORTS**

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Co-chairs report. You want to go?

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Sure. Really the only thing that I have here is multiple copies of the exact same flyer which is for the upcoming Flyway Festival.

MS. NAITO: What are the dates, Myrna?

CO-CHAIR HAYES: The dates are February 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, and 10<sup>th</sup>. That would be about exactly one week from tomorrow. I want to thank Lennar for arranging to lease us a building at no cost again this year. And, for informing me today, after I signed the paperwork, that Mythbusters is renting the building across the street for a hundred person zombie party.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: The same day?

MR. COFFEY: Awesome.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Now I really want to come.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: Yeah, we'll buy your ticket. No. Yeah, it's a true story. Anyway, do come, it ought to be fun. Well, I mean you got to give Lennar credit, they have been doing some pretty cool things. I do want to thank the Navy and its contractors for really working with us, I think in a much better spirit to ensure that the Preserve is, when it is not impacted, that there just haven't been blanket locks put on the Preserve gate. So, I want to thank you for that, that your current contractor is working very, very well, it appears, with us to try to be conscientious about our contribution to this community. But, do come out, get these flyers, go on our website, you will not find the schedule, I just finished it tonight. It's a whole week, I mean what's tweeter for or whatever that is? You can just all gather somewhere and watch birds, you don't need a festival now or a schedule. Okay.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Now for the Navy report, I went through a lot of the field work during the presentation, but this past month the Navy completed the backfilling of the SU-9A portion of the PWA. That was the last bit of field work for the TCRA at the PWA. Earlier I spoke of the RI that will be the follow-on work for that site. We have been working on the PCBs sites throughout the island. As I mentioned earlier, the field work for the munitions removal at PMA/SSA also continued. For the PMA/SSA, we've been updating these numbers every month and we have to date investigated 19,400 anomalies at the site, and recovered 473 MEC, as well as 8,300 munitions documented as safe. As I mentioned to Gil a little bit earlier based on his question, if we put in here the number of small arms that have been recovered out at the site, they would be in the 10,000 range. And that's not included in these counts.

During the reporting period, the Navy submitted three documents for regulatory review; the Revised Proposed Plan for MCFR; the Upland Chlorinated Solvents Investigation at IR Site 17; and the Draft Final Closure Report for Former UST 993-4, which as mentioned during my presentation is not really a Final Closure Report because we're going back for more data. We also received concurrence and/or comments from DTSC on four of our documents, and from the Water Board on one.

Our next RAB meeting is March 28<sup>th</sup>. Right before that RAB meeting, at 6:00 p.m., we are planning to hold our public meeting for the Proposed Plan for MCFR. So there will be a Public Notice regarding that put out in the paper. Questions on the Navy monthly progress report?

MR. COFFEY: I'd like to make one comment.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Certainly.

MR. COFFEY: About the Navy's wasteful and redundant expenditures in that you put the USS Antietam twice. That could have cost the taxpayers thousands of dollars.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Yes. Thank you.

CO-CHAIR HAYES: You've got a job in your future, Michael.

MS. TYGIELSKI: Was it something for the comment period?

CO-CHAIR LEAR: So was that your public comment?

MR. COFFEY: That was my comment.

CO-CHAIR LEAR: Oh, thank you so much. Thank you, everyone, for coming. See you next time.

(Thereupon the foregoing proceedings were concluded at 8:45 p.m.)

**LIST OF HANDOUTS:**

- Presentation Handout – Field Work Update - Navy
- Presentation Handout – Eastern Early Transfer Parcel (EETP) Update and Path Forward – Lennar Mare Island
- Mare Island Draft Navy Field Schedule
- Weston Solutions Mare Island RAB Update
- Navy Monthly Progress Report Former Mare Island Naval Shipyard January 31, 2013
- 17<sup>th</sup> Annual San Francisco Bay Flyaway Festival February 8-10, 2013