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PART 1—DECLARATION

I. SITE NAME AND LOCATION

Naval Air Station (NAS) South Weymouth
1134 Main Street
Weymouth, Massachusetts 02190
NPL No. MA2170022022
Area of Concern 8 – Wyoming Street Area – Building 70

II. STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

This decision document presents the No Further Action decision for Area of Concern (AOC) 8 (Wyoming Street Area – Building 70) at the former NAS South Weymouth, Weymouth, Massachusetts. The decision was made in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), 42 USC § 9601 et seq., as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), and to the extent practicable, the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300 et seq., as amended. The regulatory program performed under the context of these combined laws and regulations is commonly referred to as “Superfund.”

This decision is based on the Administrative Record, which has been developed in accordance with Section 113(k) of CERCLA, and which is available for review at the Navy’s Caretaker Site Office (CSO) located at NAS South Weymouth, Weymouth, Massachusetts. Local to the site, public information repositories are also maintained at the Tufts Library in Weymouth, Massachusetts; the Abington Public Library in Abington, Massachusetts; the Hingham Public Library in Hingham, Massachusetts; and the Rockland Memorial Library in Rockland, Massachusetts. The Administrative Record Index (Appendix D) identifies each of the items comprising the Administrative Record upon which the selection of this decision is based.

This decision had been selected by the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) statement on the selected remedy is presented in Appendix A.

III. DESCRIPTION OF THE SELECTED DECISION

This Record of Decision (ROD) sets forth the No Further Action decision for AOC 8 (Wyoming Street Area – Building 70) at NAS South Weymouth.

The No Further Action decision for AOC 8 is based on the Navy’s successful completion of a series of removal actions conducted at the site. In September 2002, the Navy conducted an initial removal action (excavation and offsite disposal) to address elevated levels of PCBs in surface soils. The PCB source area was assumed to be the former transformer room in Building 70. Approximately 290 tons of soil were removed. Subsequent rounds of exploratory sampling were followed by additional removals of soil and sediment between 2003 and 2005. An additional 1,231 tons of contaminated soil were excavated and disposed of offsite. Post-excavation soil sampling results confirmed that the 1 part per million total PCB cleanup goal was achieved and that remaining soil concentrations were below cleanup goals for residential exposure. In October 2005, a time-critical removal action was completed to remove a septic system discovered during the removal action. Wetlands disturbed during the removal actions have been restored. Based on these conclusions, the Navy has determined that the site does not pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.
AOC 8 is among several AOCs currently on record at NAS South Weymouth. These AOCs have been addressed independently from the rest of NAS South Weymouth and, therefore, the Navy can proceed with closure of this site as soon as they have met the requirements of the Superfund process. The signing of this No Further Action ROD by the Navy and EPA Region 1 authorizes the completion of the Superfund process for AOC 8. The No Further Action decision for AOC 8 is not expected to have any impact on the strategy or progress for the rest of the environmental investigations at NAS South Weymouth.

IV. STATUTORY DETERMINATIONS

No further cleanup actions are necessary at AOC 8 under CERCLA to ensure protection of human health and the environment. Under CERCLA, if no unacceptable risks to human health or the environment are identified, then no further actions, investigations, or monitoring is required. The remedy completed for the site did not result in hazardous substances remaining on-site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure; therefore, five-year reviews will not be required.

V. AUTHORIZING SIGNATURES

This ROD documents that No Further Action is necessary to ensure protection of human health and the environment for AOC 8 (Wyoming Street Area – Building 70) at the former NAS South Weymouth. MassDEP’s statement on the selected remedy is presented in Appendix A.

Concur and recommended for immediate implementation:

U.S. Department of the Navy

By: ____________________________ Date: 12/19/07

David A. Barney
BRAC Environmental Coordinator
Naval Air Station South Weymouth
U.S. Navy

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

By: ____________________________ Date: 1/16/08

James Owens
Director, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration
Region 1 – New England
U.S. EPA
PART 2—DECISION SUMMARY

I. SITE NAME, LOCATION, AND DESCRIPTION

The former NAS South Weymouth (the Base) was placed on the National Priorities List (NPL) in May 1994 by EPA pursuant to CERCLA. During its operational period (1940s to 1996), NAS South Weymouth was owned by the U.S. Government, and was operated by the Department of the Navy. The Base is located primarily in the Town of Weymouth, Massachusetts (Figure 2-1). Portions of NAS South Weymouth extend into the adjacent Towns of Abington and Rockland, Massachusetts.

The Department of the Navy is the lead agency, and U.S. EPA Region 1 is the lead regulatory agency, for CERCLA activities at NAS South Weymouth. The U.S. Department of Defense is the sole source of cleanup funding for the property. There are several operable units within the NAS South Weymouth NPL site (MA2170022022) that the Navy is addressing under CERCLA. This ROD pertains to Area of Concern (AOC) 8 (Wyoming Street Area – Building 70).

AOC 8 comprises approximately 1.5 acres at the former location of Building 70 (Radio Receiver Building) located in the Wyoming Street area in the southeastern part of the Base in the town of Rockland (Figure 2-1).

Currently, the site consists of an undeveloped wooded area that includes approximately 0.5 acres of wetlands (Figure 2-2). Additional wetlands are present to the west, south, and east of the site. The area topography is relatively flat (approximate 0.5-foot change over the extent of the site) and there are no structures currently in the immediate vicinity of the site. Wildlife, including deer, coyote, hawks, and turtles have been observed in this area.

II. SITE HISTORY AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

A. Site History

NAS South Weymouth was constructed during the 1940s as an aircraft facility for dirigibles used to patrol the North Atlantic during World War II. The facility was closed at the end of the war and reopened in 1953 as a Naval Air Station for aviation training. NAS South Weymouth was in continuous use since that time a Naval Air Reserve training facility until it was operationally closed on September 30, 1996 as part of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) program. Administrative closure was completed in September 1997.

Building 70 (Radio Receiver Building) was used by the Navy during the 1940s and 1950s for lighter-than-air aircraft operations. Building 70 contained electrical equipment to support the antenna field formerly located in this area (several of the poles are still standing in the heavily wooded areas southeast of the site). Individuals interviewed as part of the Phase I EBS (Stone & Webster, 1996) reported that Building 70 was burned as a fire fighting exercise. The interviewees stated that the electrical equipment from this building may not have been removed prior to burning the structure and that the equipment might have been buried at this location.

During field investigations in 1998/1999 at the site, an unidentified concrete structure was discovered in the wooded area southeast of the road loop where Building 70 was reportedly located. A review of aerial photographs from the 1940s revealed that this structure was present during that timeframe, and likely represents the remains of Building 70.
B. History of Site Investigations

The following sections provide an overview of the Navy’s completed investigations at AOC 8. Full details are available for review in the January 2003 Draft Phase II EBS Decision Document and the October 2006 Final Closeout Report Action Memorandum.

The various EBS investigations, removal actions, and other evaluations conducted at AOC 8 are described below in chronological order. Sampling locations and removal action limits are shown in Figures 2-3 through 2-5. Sampling results are summarized in Tables 2-2 through 2-5.

Phase I EBS – 1995

The Navy performed a Phase I EBS (Stone & Webster, 1996) to assess the environmental condition of the Base property. Areas that required further investigation for potential contamination were designated as Review Item Areas (RIAs). The Building 70 area was designated as RIA 8. A transformer room in Building 70 was identified during a review of historical drawings. A sump, which discharged to the ground surface, was also identified on the drawings.

Phase II EBS – 1998-1999

The Navy conducted a Phase II EBS investigation to assess the RIAs identified in the Phase I EBS. The RIAs were investigated as separate sites during the Phase II EBS and each site was sampled for potential contaminants. The results of the Phase II EBS investigation and comparisons to screening benchmarks and background values were presented in decision documents for each RIA. Phase II EBS results for RIA 8 were presented in the Draft Phase II Environmental Baseline Survey Decision Document for Review Item Area 8, Building No. 70 (Stone & Webster, 2003).

During 1998-1999, the Navy collected four surface soil samples (defined as the soil interval 0 – 1 foot below ground surface (bgs)), three subsurface soil samples (the interval from 1 foot bgs to the bottom of the boring), and one groundwater sample from the area believed to be the location of the former Building 70 (by an existing roadway). Surface and subsurface soil samples were analyzed for metals, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) (including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAHs]), asbestos, and dioxins/furans. The groundwater sample was analyzed for metals. Detected chemicals that exceeded benchmark screening criteria were identified as contaminants of potential concern (COPCs).

In surface soil, one dioxin congener and one PCB congener (Aroclor-1260) were identified as COPCs. Four metals (arsenic, chromium, iron, and manganese) also exceeded benchmark screening criteria but were not retained as COPCs. Arsenic and chromium were detected within background levels. Iron was considered an essential nutrient (and thereby would be screened out of a risk assessment). The maximum detected concentration of manganese in surface soil (340 J mg/kg) exceeded the EBS benchmark (160 mg/kg, which was derived from EPA Region III RBCs) and the NAS South Weymouth background concentration (313.83 mg/kg). For purposes of the EBS screening, the EPA Region III RBC value for manganese in soil was initially reduced by a factor of 10 to account for potential synergistic effects between inorganic compounds. The actual RBC for manganese is 1,600 mg/kg, which is higher than the maximum detected concentration of manganese in the Phase II EBS samples. Therefore, manganese was not retained as a COPC.

No COPCs were identified in groundwater. Only one metal (manganese) exceeded benchmark screening levels, but the detected concentration was within background levels.

None of the other analytes detected in the investigation exceeded benchmark screening levels.
Phase II EBS – 2001

Upon further review of historical information, it was concluded that the former Building 70 was actually located further to the southeast (by an older roadway) than was believed in the 1998-1999 sampling event (by an existing roadway). The Navy therefore conducted a second sampling round that included soil and sediment sampling. In May-June 2001, three sediment samples were collected from the wetlands bordering the site to the east, south, and west and were analyzed for metals, pesticides, PCBs, VOCs, SVOCs, and PAHs. In August 2001, surface and subsurface soil samples were collected from each of three borings installed at the site and were analyzed for metals, pesticides, PCBs, VOCs, SVOCs, PAHs, and dioxins/furans. No groundwater samples were collected because subsurface obstructions (e.g., shallow bedrock) were encountered when trying to install the two planned monitoring wells.

Similar to the 1998-1999 results, one PCB congener and one dioxin congener were identified as COPCs. Aroclor-1260 exceeded benchmark screening levels in sediment and surface soil and is suspected to have originated from transformers left in place when the building was burned. The dioxin COPC was detected in a surface soil sample.

Analytical results of surface soil samples also included concentrations of arsenic, chromium, iron, and dieldrin above benchmarks but not above background concentrations.

Subsurface soil samples contained concentrations of arsenic and iron above human health benchmarks, but not above background concentrations. The maximum detected concentration of thallium in subsurface soil (0.89 J mg/kg) exceeded the EBS benchmark (0.55 mg/kg, which was derived from EPA Region III RBCs) and the NAS South Weymouth background concentration (0.22 mg/kg). However, the non-carcinogenic value used for initial EBS human health benchmark screening had been reduced by a factor of 10 to account for synergistic effects between inorganic compounds. Thallium was the only constituent detected in subsurface soil above benchmark and background levels; therefore, it did not have an additive or synergistic effect and reduction of the levels by a factor of 10 was not necessary. The actual RBC for thallium (5.5 mg/kg) is higher than the maximum concentration in the Phase II EBS samples. Therefore, thallium was not retained as a COPC in subsurface soil.

Analytical results of sediment samples indicated the presence of lead above ecological benchmarks but not above background concentrations. In addition, four pesticides and a PCB were detected above ecological benchmarks (background concentrations are not applicable).

The EBS Phase II sampling results were screened against human health and ecological risk benchmark values as well as background concentrations. The screening indicated potential risks to human health and the environment. At NAS South Weymouth, RIAs that exceed either risk benchmarks or background values for more than one hazardous substance become CERCLA AOCs. RIA 8 thus was designated as AOC 8. AOC 8 is 1 of 18 CERCLA AOCs located at NAS South Weymouth.

Removal Actions – 2002-2005

The EBS Phase II Decision Document (Stone & Webster, 2003) concluded that a removal action was required at AOC 8 due to the elevated concentrations of PCBs in surface soil. The highest PCB concentrations were found to be located in the general location of the former transformer room and sump discharge (the maximum concentration of PCBs in soil or sediment at AOC 8 was 590 mg/kg of Aroclor-1260). This area was assumed to be the source of the PCB contamination at AOC 8. PCBs were the target compound for the removal action. The Navy established a target cleanup level of 1 mg/kg total PCBs based on the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) (15 U.S.C. s/s 2601 et seq., 1976) Level for Residential Unrestricted Access.
The dioxin congener identified as a COPC, was detected during the EBS investigations in two of seven surface soil samples at extremely low concentrations, 8.4E-6 and 7.5E-06 J mg/kg (the Region 9 residential soil PRG is 3.9E-06 mg/kg). PCB concentrations at these two surface soil locations were below the removal action target cleanup level. The established limits for the PCB removal action did not include these two locations. No action was determined to be necessary to address the dioxin COPC. An acceptable post-removal risk was achieved following the PCB removal action.

Although no federal- or state-listed endangered or threatened species are present, AOC 8 is located in prime habitat for the eastern box turtle, a state-listed Species of Special Concern. Special measures to ensure their protection were taken during investigation and removal activities, consistent with a Standard Operating Procedure, *Turtle Monitoring and Protection during Investigation or Construction Activities as NAS South Weymouth* (ENSR 2004). The area was checked for turtles prior to any intrusive activities, turtle movements were tracked, and work was postponed during periods when turtles were more active.

Between 2002 and 2005, the Navy conducted the following activities at AOC 8 as a time critical removal action (TCRA): site mobilization, site preparation, 9 rounds of exploratory sampling, 4 mobilizations for soil/sediment excavation, 15 rounds of confirmatory sampling, material staging, waste material transport and off-site disposal, decontamination operations, backfilling/restoration, and demobilization (Tetra Tech EC, 2006). The limits of the removal action excavation and the associated exploratory and confirmatory samples are shown on Figures 2-3 through 2-5.

The initial removal action (Mobilization 1) was performed in September 2002 and consisted of three rounds of excavation. Results for confirmatory samples collected during excavation activities indicated PCB contamination was more widespread than previous sampling had indicated. A total of 303 tons of soil was removed as part of this removal action.

The limits of PCB soil contamination were established through four rounds of exploratory sampling conducted in January, May, July, and August 2003. The highest exceedances for PCBs were in the general vicinity of the transformer room and sump discharge.

As part of Mobilization 2, six rounds of excavation were completed to remove a total of 915 tons of soil from the original excavated area.

In July 2004, additional samples were collected to verify whether surficial PCB contamination was still present. Samples from seven locations contained PCB concentrations above the cleanup criteria. Mobilization 3 was conducted in November 2004 to remove soil that exceeded the criteria. A total of 132 tons of soil was removed during two rounds of excavation. Post-excavation sampling indicated that exceedances of benchmark values remained.

Removal actions (Mobilization 4) continued in September and October 2005. A total of 184 tons of soil were removed during three rounds of excavation. Confirmatory sampling results indicated that the excavation for PCBs was complete. However, during the Mobilization 4 removal action, a septic system associated with Former Building 70 was found in the northernmost section of one of the removal areas. In October 2005, samples were collected from the septic system. Results from the first tank indicated PCB concentrations above criteria. A time-critical removal action (TCRA) was subsequently conducted of the septic system. Confirmation samples were collected for VOCs, PCBs, and TAL Metals. Post-removal analytical results indicated that the removal was successful and no unacceptable risks to human receptors remained.

In total, 1,534 tons of soil was removed from AOC 8 during the various phases of the removal action. The final excavation area was approximately 10,723 sq. ft. with a maximum excavation depth of 5 to 6 feet.
Wetland Restoration Actions – 2004-2005

On March 29, 2004, the Navy conducted a wetlands site investigation at AOC 8. The investigation checked existing condition plans to verify wetland boundaries and determined the extent of backfill to be placed within the wetlands as a result of remedial activities.

As a result of remedial activities, approximately 0.13 acres of vegetated wetland had been disturbed. The Navy determined that the removal of 0.5 to 3.0 feet of backfill was required to restore pre-remedial wetland elevations to allow for proper hydrological restoration, and the addition of 12-inches of organically enriched topsoil was required to provide sufficient medium for the reestablishment of hydrophytic vegetation.

Restoration actions included 0.5 feet of backfill removed from the 100-foot buffer zone area and replaced with screened loam. A New England Conservation/Wildlife mix was seeded within the 100-foot buffer zone to encourage revegetation of herbaceous species that will provide erosion control and wildlife habitat value.

The Navy monitored the wetland periodically during 2004 and 2005 to ensure growth of the seeded vegetation. In September 2005, a small hot spot area was re-excavated, including a small portion of the AOC 8 wetland. This area was reseeded with the same seed mix following excavation and backfill. Monitoring during early 2006 found the entire AOC 8 wetland was thriving with wetland vegetation including natural woody species recruitment of red maple, gray birch, highbush blueberry, and willow along with a flourishing herbaceous community dominated by soft rush, fox sedge, bidens, lurid sedge, cattails, boneset, and smartweed. Post restoration monitoring is scheduled for three growing seasons beginning with the initial round in 2006, and which will be continued in 2007 and 2008.

C. History of CERCLA Enforcement Activities

In May 1994, NAS South Weymouth was listed on EPA’s NPL, indicating that the NAS South Weymouth property was a priority for environmental investigation and cleanup. The Navy has conducted environmental studies and activities at NAS South Weymouth in accordance with CERCLA and the NCP. Based on the designation of NAS South Weymouth property as an NPL site, a Federal Facility Agreement was executed by the Navy and EPA, which became effective in April 2000. This agreement establishes the Navy as the lead agency for the investigation and cleanup of designated sites within NAS South Weymouth property, with EPA providing oversight. MassDEP is not a party to the Federal Facility Agreement. In accordance with CERCLA and the NCP, MassDEP has participated in ongoing discussions and strategy sessions, and provides oversight and guidance through their review of CERCLA documents.

III. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

The Navy has worked to keep the community involved throughout the investigation process. The Navy has kept the community and other interested parties apprised of NAS South Weymouth environmental activities through informational meetings, fact sheets, press releases, public meetings, regular contact with local officials, and a public website. Also, the Navy meets on a regular basis to discuss the status and progress of the environmental programs with the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB), which is comprised of community leaders, government agency representatives, and local citizens who gather to discuss the progress of the environmental programs at NAS South Weymouth. Representatives from the Navy, EPA Region 1, MassDEP, and local government have attended the public meetings and hearings. The following is a brief chronology of public outreach efforts for AOC 8:
In September 1995, the Navy initiated a series of public meetings, at which the RAB process was explained and community members were asked to join the RAB. A sufficient number of volunteers assembled, and RAB meetings began in March 1996. Since that time, RAB meetings have been held on a monthly or bi-monthly basis to keep the RAB and local community informed of the progress of the environmental investigations. The Navy has prepared and distributed minutes from each of the RAB meetings. Meeting minutes are available to the public on the Navy’s public website for environmental activities at the former NAS South Weymouth (http://nas-southweymouth.navy-env.com/).

In March 1996, the EPA awarded the North and South Rivers Watershed Association (NSRWA) a Technical Advisory Grant (TAG). This TAG had allowed the NSRWA to hire a Technical Advisor to review documents, attend meetings, and prepare evaluation reports. The Technical Advisor attended most RAB meetings and technical project meetings when the TAG was active.

In July 1998, the Navy released a community relations plan that outlined a program to address community concerns and keep citizens informed about and involved in remedial activities.

In May 1999, the DoD gave the RAB for NAS South Weymouth a Technical Assistance for Public Participation (TAPP) grant. This grant had allowed the RAB to obtain technical assistance from experts in the environmental field to help them understand the environmental cleanup programs at the Base.

The Navy has distributed technical documents directly to the RAB members, including the EBS Decision Documents, the Closeout Report Action Memoranda, and field reports. Technical documents are also available at the information repositories listed below.

The Navy gave formal presentations about AOC 8 during the April 2005 and June 2005 RAB meetings as well as periodic updates on the status of the site during various other public RAB meetings.

The Navy published a legal notice of the Proposed Plan for AOC 8 in the Patriot Ledger (July 2, 2007), the Abington-Rockland Mariner (July 6, 2007), and the Weymouth News (July 4, 2007). The notice announced the public comment period and the meeting date for the public information session and public hearing. Announcements about the meeting were posted at the Weymouth Town Hall. The Navy distributed copies of the Proposed Plan to a mailing list of nearly 400 community members. In addition, the Navy made the Proposed Plan available to the public at several established Information Repositories (listed below) and the Navy’s public website for environmental activities at the former NAS South Weymouth (http://nas-southweymouth.navy-env.com/).

From July 2, 2007 to August 1, 2007, the Navy offered the Proposed Plan for public comment, in accordance with the requirements of the NCP and the CERCLA program at NAS South Weymouth. No written comments were received regarding AOC 8 during the public comment period.

On July 19, 2007, the Navy held an informational meeting to present the Navy’s Proposed Plan to the public. At this meeting, representatives from the Navy discussed the Proposed Plan and answered questions from the public. In addition, the Navy held a public hearing to accept oral comments on the Proposed Plan. A transcript of comments received at the public hearing is included as Appendix E.
The Navy has provided responses to comments received at the public hearing and during the comment period in the Responsiveness Summary, which is included in Part 3 of this ROD.

In addition, the Navy has provided an index of the Administrative Record available for public review, which is maintained at the Navy’s CSO at NAS South Weymouth, Weymouth, Massachusetts. Information repositories have also been established at several locations. Currently, information is available at the Tufts Library in Weymouth, Massachusetts; the Abington Public Library in Abington, Massachusetts; the Hingham Public Library in Hingham, Massachusetts; and the Rockland Memorial Library in Rockland, Massachusetts. The Administrative Record Index is included as Appendix D to this ROD.

IV. SCOPE AND ROLE OF OPERABLE UNIT OR RESPONSE ACTION

In addition to several CERCLA Operable Units, AOC 8 is 1 of 18 CERCLA AOCs identified at NAS South Weymouth (Table 2-1). In general, the Operable Units and AOCs at NAS South Weymouth progress through the CERCLA cleanup process independent of one another.

AOC 8 was originally identified in the Phase I EBS Report as RIA 8. An RIA is an area identified during the EBS that required further evaluation due to the potential for contamination. If environmental impacts are found, then the Navy addresses an RIA under the appropriate program. At NAS South Weymouth, the Navy has designated EBS RIAs as CERCLA AOCs when one or more CERCLA hazardous substances have been present in excess of human health or ecological risk benchmarks and background values. The Navy has then performed streamlined risk assessments or conducted removal actions at the various AOCs at NAS South Weymouth. At AOC 8, the Navy elected to conduct a removal action to address PCBs in soil/sediment.

The ROD for AOC 8 is one component of the Superfund program at NAS South Weymouth. AOC 8 has proceeded on an independent track from the other Operable Units and AOCs in order to enable the Navy to expedite site closure and property transfer. The signing of this ROD by the Navy and EPA Region 1 indicates the completion of the Superfund process for AOC 8. No additional actions or investigations of AOC 8 are required under CERCLA. The selected No Further Action decision for AOC 8 is not expected to have an impact on the strategy or progress for the remaining environmental investigation sites at NAS South Weymouth. Additional details on the strategy and schedule for the remediation of the other Operable Units and AOCs at NAS South Weymouth are available in the Navy’s Site Management Plan (Tetra Tech NUS, 2007).

V. SITE CHARACTERISTICS

The following section provides an overview of the initially suspected source of contamination, the investigations performed, the identified COPCs, and the analytical results for AOC 8. A description of the AOC 8 size, location and environs is included in Section I of this Part of the ROD. The history of the various site investigations, removal actions and evaluations is described in Section II.B of this Part of the ROD. Full details regarding the soil investigations, removal actions and evaluations are available for review in the Draft Phase II EBS Decision Document (Stone & Webster 2003) and the Final Revision 2 Closeout Report Action Memorandum (Tetra Tech EC, 2006) and other documents listed in Appendix B.
The Phase I EBS identified the former Building 70 area as a potential source of contamination due to the presence of a former PCB transformer and sump, and the reported burning of the building as part of a fire fighting exercise. During Phase II EBS activities in 1998/1999, the Navy collected surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater samples from the area believed to be the location of the former Building 70 (by an existing roadway). However, upon further review of historical information, it was concluded that the former Building 70 was actually located further to the southeast (by an older roadway). Therefore, the Navy conducted a second sampling round in 2001 that included soil and sediment samples. No groundwater samples were collected in 2001 because subsurface obstructions (e.g., shallow bedrock) were encountered when trying to install monitoring wells. Sample locations are shown in Figure 2-3. Sample results are summarized below and in Tables 2-2 through 2-5. The sampling data were compared to NAS South Weymouth background levels and risk-based screening benchmarks for human and ecological receptors.

In 1998/1999, only Aroclor 1260 (a PCB) and a dioxin congener were identified as COPCs in surface soil. In 2001, Aroclor-1260 and a dioxin congener were again identified as COPCs. Aroclor-1260 exceeded benchmark screening levels in sediment and surface soil and was suspected to have originated from transformers left in place when the building was burned. The dioxin COPC was detected in a surface soil sample. Four pesticides and a PCB also were detected at concentrations that exceeded ecological benchmarks in sediment samples.

In the EBS Phase II Decision Document, the Navy concluded that a removal action was required at AOC 8 to remediate PCB concentrations in surface soil. The highest PCB concentrations were found to be located in the general location of the former transformer room and sump discharge (the maximum concentration of PCBs in soil or sediment at AOC 8 was 590 mg/kg of Aroclor-1260). This area was assumed to be the source of the PCB contamination at AOC 8. PCBs were the target compound for the removal action. The Navy established a target cleanup level of 1 mg/kg total PCBs based on the TSCA Level for Residential Unrestricted Access. The Navy completed the removal action in various phases between 2002 and 2005. During excavation activities in 2004, the Navy found a septic system associated with former Building 70. The Navy collected samples from the septic system and identified PCB concentrations that exceeded screening criteria. Therefore, the Navy completed excavation of the septic system as part of the TCRA. In total, the Navy excavated and disposed at an offsite facility approximately 1,534 tons of soil from AOC 8. The Navy has since backfilled and re-vegetated the disturbed wetland area. Post-restoration wetland monitoring will be performed in 2007 and 2008.

VI. CURRENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE SITE RESOURCE USES

NAS South Weymouth was operationally closed on September 30, 1996, and administratively closed on September 30, 1997. As such, historical operations conducted at the base are no longer occurring. The base is located within a residential/light commercial area.

Under current use of the former NAS South Weymouth, there are no regular activities occurring at AOC 8 and, thus, there is limited potential for current worker exposure. Human activity is limited to possible brush clearing during summer months and periodic post-restoration wetland monitoring. NAS South Weymouth is operationally closed.

The anticipated future use of the AOC 8 properties is based on the zoning prescribed in the Zoning and Land Use By-Laws for the Naval Air Station South Weymouth (SSTTDC, 2005), which has been approved by the Towns of Weymouth, Abington, and Rockland. AOC 8 is zoned as “open space.” The open space zoning is intended for the preservation of large, contiguous wetland areas and open space for park land, active and passive recreation, reservations, community gardens, rivers and streams, and similar uses. The zoning may also encompass wetland resource areas, open space, and recreational areas where there are important public health, safety, and welfare interests in watershed and flood
potential protection, preservation of wildlife habitat, and conservation of recreational land for resident use and enjoyment. No residential reuse is permitted under the open space zoning.

Groundwater at AOC 8 is not within a state-mapped, potentially productive aquifer zone, interim wellhead protection area (IWHPA), or Zone II area. Therefore, groundwater at AOC 8 is not considered to be part of a Potential Drinking Water Source Area.

VII. SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL SITE RISKS

AOC 8 was originally identified in the Phase I EBS Report as RIA 8, an area requiring further evaluation due to the potential for contamination. Under the Phase II EBS, soil and sediment samples were collected and analyzed for wide range of potential contaminants. The validated laboratory results were screened against background values for NAS South Weymouth, human health risk-based benchmarks, and ecological risk-based benchmarks.

The human health risk-based benchmarks used for the Phase II EBS are the most conservative (lowest) value under residential exposure scenarios provided in EPA Region III Risk Based Concentrations (RBCs) and MCP Method 1 Standards (Massachusetts Contingency Plan – 310 CMR 40.0000) where applicable. The benchmark for each analyte was established as the lower value under residential land use scenarios (i.e., residential soil and tap water RBCs, or S-1/GW-1 values for soil and lowest of GW-1, GW-2 or GW-3 values for groundwater). Non-carcinogenic EPA RBC values were reduced by a factor of 10, as a further conservative measure to account for potential additive effects.

The screening indicated potential risks to health and the environment at AOC 8 associated with PCBs and dioxin in soil and sediment.

The Navy conducted removal actions at AOC 8 to excavate soil and sediment containing PCBs as well as an abandoned septic system associated with former Building 70 which was also found to contain PCBs. For the removal actions, Navy established a PCB cleanup goal of 1 part per million total PCBs, the Toxic Substances Control Action (TSCA) Level for residential Unrestricted Access. Confirmatory sampling data were collected at AOC 8. The final post-removal action PCB concentrations in soil and sediment achieved the TSCA cleanup goal for residential exposure.

Soil and sediment conditions at AOC 8 are acceptable for unrestricted use (including residential), based on comparisons of chemical constituents to risk-based federal screening criteria and state standards and established PCB cleanup goals. AOC 8, in accordance with the current reuse plan, will be zoned for open space and, therefore, would be available for some recreational use. No additional measures are required for the soil and sediment at AOC 8 to ensure protection of human health and the environment.

VIII. DOCUMENTATION OF NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

The Navy issued a Proposed Plan for No Further Action for AOC 8 on July 2, 2007 for a 30-day public comment period. A public information session and a public hearing were held on July 19, 2007. The Navy reviewed the comments submitted during the public comment period (Appendix E). As summarized in the Responsiveness Summary (Part 3), it was determined that no significant changes to the decision, as originally identified in the Proposed Plan, were necessary. Therefore, No Further Action for AOC 8 will be implemented.
IX. STATE ROLE

MassDEP has reviewed the relevant site information to determine if the selected remedy is in compliance with applicable or relevant and appropriate state environmental and facility siting laws and regulations. MassDEP’s statement on the selected remedy in this ROD is presented in Appendix A.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site Designation</th>
<th>Operable Unit Designation</th>
<th>Site Abbreviation</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Regulatory Status as of September 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Gate Landfill</td>
<td>IR Program Site 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>WGL</td>
<td>Disposal area used for a variety of construction and demolition debris, municipal, and other waste materials.</td>
<td>PA, SI, RI, FS, PRAP, and ROD (including construction of a soil cover over the landfill, long-term monitoring, and institutional controls) completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubble Disposal Area (Upland)</td>
<td>IR Program Site 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RDA</td>
<td>Disposal area used for primarily building demolition debris.</td>
<td>PA, SI, RI, FS, PRAP, ROD. Remedial Design, Remedial Action including excavation and offsite disposal of PCB-impacted material, construction of a soil cap for the landfill material, long-term monitoring, and institutional controls is completed and long-term monitoring is underway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Landfill</td>
<td>IR Program Site 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>Disposal area used primarily for concrete, metal, and wood.</td>
<td>PA, SI, RI, PRAP, and ROD (No Action with groundwater monitoring) completed. Monitoring program completed. Closure under MA Solid Waste Regulations is underway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Fighting Training Area</td>
<td>IR Program Site 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>FFATA</td>
<td>Area designated for dispensing fuels for igniting and extinguishing fires.</td>
<td>PA, SI, and RI completed. No FS required. Completed PRAP and No Action ROD. Further assessment is being conducted in accordance with the MCP (310 CMR 40.0000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tile Leach Field</td>
<td>IR Program Site 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TLF</td>
<td>Sand bed used to receive and distribute treated industrial wastewater.</td>
<td>PA, SI, and RI completed. No FS required. PRAP and No Action ROD completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Farm</td>
<td>IR Program Site 6</td>
<td>Not applicable (no longer CERCLA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Tank farm and fuel dispensing area.</td>
<td>Site was transferred into the MCP program based on exhibiting only fuel-related issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Treatment Plant</td>
<td>IR Program Site 7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>STP</td>
<td>Wastewater treatment plant used primarily for domestic wastewater.</td>
<td>PA, SI, RI, and FS completed. PRAP issued August 2007. Preparing ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned Bladder Tank Fuel Storage Area</td>
<td>IR Program Site 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ABTFSA</td>
<td>Area in which aboveground tanks temporarily were stored in support of aircraft refueling training operations.</td>
<td>Closed. PA, SI, and RI completed. No FS necessary. Completed No Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubble Disposal Area</td>
<td>IR Program Site 2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>RDA</td>
<td>Steep sloping area adjacent to the RDA.</td>
<td>Combined with Operable Unit 2. No separate actions being performed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site Designation</th>
<th>Operable Unit Designation</th>
<th>Site Abbreviation</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Regulatory Status as of September 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building 81</td>
<td>IR Program Site 9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Release of solvents from former motor pool.</td>
<td>Former MCP site moved to CERCLA program. Conducted in situ chemical oxidation pilot study for groundwater. RI sampling completed. Preparing RI report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 82</td>
<td>IR Program Site 10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Release of solvents from former aircraft hangar operations.</td>
<td>Former MCP site moved to CERCLA program. RI sampling completed. Preparing RI report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent Release Area</td>
<td>IR Program Site 11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SRA</td>
<td>Release of solvents from unidentified source.</td>
<td>Former EBS background location moved to the CERCLA Program. Preparing RI report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hangar 1 Main Bay</td>
<td>AOC Hangar 1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Main building floor drains</td>
<td>Various Removal Action/TCRAs completed. Preparing PRAP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected TACAN Disposal Area</td>
<td>AOC 3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Pile of rubble, soil, and metal debris containing PAHs and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. TCRA completed in Fall 2001 for the removal of 51 tons of soil and debris. PRAP completed. Completed No Further Action ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATC abandoned septic system</td>
<td>AOC 4A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Alleged liquid and solid waste disposal to a septic system. Arsenic in adjacent forested wetland hydric soil (sediment) was detected at levels above background.</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. Conducted streamlined HHRA and ERA. Completed No Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming St. Area – Building 70</td>
<td>AOC 8</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Remnants of Building 70 demolition. Building housed radar electronics. Elevated PCB concentrations in soil.</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. TCRA, and CRAM completed. Completed No Further Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply Warehouse</td>
<td>AOC 13</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Former railroad loading and unloading area. PAHs and pesticides in soil.</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. Conducted HHRA on soil. Removal action completed in September 2001 (8 tons of soil containing PAHs removed). PRAP completed. Completed No Further Action ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Tower Staining</td>
<td>AOC 14</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Staining between Hortensphere and Water Tower. Former drum storage area. Chromium, lead, and PAHs in soil.</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, Phase II. Conducted HHRA. Preparing No Action PRAP.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Regulatory Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Tower</strong></td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. June 2000 TCRA addressed lead in soil (280 tons of soil removed). Additional removal in March 2002 (104 tons of soil) addressed elevated lead reported from adjacent AOC 14 sample. PRAP completed. Completed No Further Action ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pistol Range</strong></td>
<td>EBS Phase I. EBS Phase II. Completed TCRA for lead in soil. Removed the de-armament embankment. Completed No Further Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Former Radio Transmitter Building Area</strong></td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II, removal actions, and CRAM completed. Completed No Further Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area North of Trotter Road - Antennae Field</strong></td>
<td>Phase I EBS, Phase II EBS. Removal action in September 2002 removed antenna poles, platforms, grounding wires, and adjacent soil (840 tons of soil) to lower ecological risk. Completed No Further Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area North of Trotter Road - Debris Area</strong></td>
<td>Phase I EBS, Phase II EBS. Debris removal in 1999. Completed No Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area North of Trotter Road - Pond Area</strong></td>
<td>Phase II EBS. Removal action may be conducted. Pending PRAP/ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area North of Trotter Road - Wetland Area</strong></td>
<td>Formerly part of AOC 55B. Completed streamlined HHRA and ERA. Completed No Action PRAP and ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East Mat Drainage Ditch</strong></td>
<td>Phase I EBS, Phase II EBS. Removal action conducted in December 2002 on the western portion of ditch as part of AOC 61 removal action. Further work underway.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site Designation</th>
<th>Operable Unit</th>
<th>Site Abbreviation</th>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>Regulatory Status as of September 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TACAN Ditch</td>
<td>AOC 61</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Discolored water in drainage ditch.</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. Completed Removal Action to address the TACAN Outfall drainage system, associated ditches, drainage swales, storm sewer lines, and catch basins in other areas at the Base. Cleaned the 60-in. storm drains and removed sediment in the TACAN ditch. Further work underway. Pending PRAP/ROD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Waste Storage Area</td>
<td>AOC 83</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>RCRA Closure. PCB in subsurface soil.</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. Completed HHRA. No Action PRAP in progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Street Gate Area</td>
<td>AOC 100</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Debris disposal area. Various inorganics exceeded background and ecological benchmarks for surface soil.</td>
<td>EBS Phase I, EBS Phase II. Removal action completed in Fall 2001 (1,194 tons of soil and debris). PRAP completed. Completed No Further Action ROD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:
- PA = Preliminary Assessment
- SI = Site Inspection
- RI = Remedial Investigation (Phase I and II)
- FS = Feasibility Study
- PRAP = Proposed Remedial Action Plan (or Proposed Plan)
- CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
- ROD = Record of Decision
- MCP = Massachusetts Contingency Plan
- TCRA = Time Critical Removal Action
- AOC = Area of Concern.
- CMR = Code of Massachusetts Regulations.
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**PRE- AND POST-REMOVAL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS (DETECTED ANALYTES) COMPAARED TO NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH BACKGROUND LEVELS AND RISK-BASED SCREENING BENCHMARKS**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>AOC 8</th>
<th>Risk-Based Screening Benchmarks</th>
<th>NAS South Weymouth Background Levels</th>
<th>Removal Action Cleanup Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum Pre-Removal Concentration</td>
<td>Maximum Post-Removal Concentration*</td>
<td>EBS Human Health Soil Benchmark**</td>
<td>SERA Eco Soil Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butanone</td>
<td>0.0021 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pesticides/PCBs (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>0.082 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDE</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDT</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-chlordane</td>
<td>0.02 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosulfan II</td>
<td>0.57 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>8.41E-6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4E-6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin</td>
<td>2.5E-7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1E-4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aroclor-1260</td>
<td><strong>590</strong></td>
<td>Less than 1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Benzphenanthracene</td>
<td>0.22 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benz(a)anthracene</td>
<td>0.14 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene</td>
<td>0.074 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(b)fluoranthen</td>
<td>0.2 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(k)fluoranthen</td>
<td>0.13 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-ethylhexy)phthalate</td>
<td>0.098 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenz(a,h)anthracene</td>
<td>0.0019 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>0.28 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>AOC 8 Risk-Based Screening Benchmarks</th>
<th>NAS South Weymouth Background Levels</th>
<th>Removal Action Cleanup Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum Pre-Removal Concentration</td>
<td>Maximum Post-Removal Concentration*</td>
<td>EBS Human Health Soil Benchmark**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg) (cont.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene</td>
<td>0.12 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>0.43 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inorganics (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>7680 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>22.7 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>9.8 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>13800 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>340 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>9 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>23.2 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NOTES:

**Bold** = Sample result exceeds background level.
shaded = Sample result exceeds human health or ecological screening benchmark.
-- = Not sampled (data) or not available (screening criteria or background data).
NA = Not Applicable
J = estimated value
EBS = Environmental Baseline Survey
SERA = Screening Ecological Risk Assessment
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram (parts per million)

Cited background levels are the 95% Upper Prediction Limit (UPL) of the background dataset. Prediction limits provide coverage of 100% of future measurements with a given level of confidence (e.g., 95%). The UPL may be higher or lower than the maximum detected value, and defaults to the maximum in the case of non-parametric data or if more than half the samples are non-detect. 95% UPL values are as reported in the NAS South Weymouth basewide background dataset, as amended in November 2002.

*Closeout Report Action Memorandum, Area of Concern 8 (Tetra Tech EC, 2006).

** The human health risk-based benchmarks used for the Phase II EBS are the most conservative (lowest) value under residential exposure scenarios provided in EPA Region III Risk Based Concentrations (RBCs) (EPA 1996) and MCP Method 1 Standards S-1/GW-1 values for soil (Massachusetts Contingency Plan - 310 CMR 40.0000). Non-carcinogenic EPA RBC values were reduced by a factor of 10, as a further conservative measure to account for potential additive effects.
## Record of Decision
### Naval Air Station South Weymouth
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>AOC 8</th>
<th>Risk-Based Screening Benchmarks</th>
<th>NAS South Weymouth Background Levels</th>
<th>Removal Action Cleanup Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum Pre-Removal Concentration</td>
<td>Maximum Post-Removal Concentration*</td>
<td>EBS Human Health Soil Benchmark**</td>
<td>SERA Eco Soil Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0.0043 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pesticides/PCBs (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-DDE</td>
<td>0.00084 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>3.3E-6 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4E-6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PCBs</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>1.1***</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene</td>
<td>0.028 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate</td>
<td>0.14 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inorganics (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>6700 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>0.33 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>27.3 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.08 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>11.5 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>18300 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7.8 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>0.56 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2-3

PRE- AND POST-REMOVAL SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS (DETECTED ANALYTES) COMPARED TO NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH BACKGROUND LEVELS AND RISK-BASED SCREENING BENCHMARKS

AREA OF CONCERN 8 – WYOMING STREET AREA – BUILDING 70
NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH, WEYMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>AOC 8 Maximum Pre-Removal Concentration</th>
<th>Maximum Post-Removal Concentration*</th>
<th>Risk-Based Screening Benchmarks EBS Human Health Soil Benchmark**</th>
<th>SERA Eco Soil Benchmark</th>
<th>NAS South Weymouth Background Levels</th>
<th>Removal Action Cleanup Goal TSCA Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganics (mg/kg) (cont.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>0.89 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>31.5 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>36.6 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>28.74</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:

- Bold = Sample result exceeds background level.
- shaded = Sample result exceeds human health or ecological screening benchmark.
- -- = Not sampled (data) or not available (screening criteria or background data).

Cited background levels are the 95% Upper Prediction Limit (UPL) of the background dataset. Prediction limits provide coverage of 100% of future measurements with a given level of confidence (e.g., 95%). The UPL may be higher or lower than the maximum detected value, and defaults to the maximum in the case of non-parametric data or if more than half the samples are non-detect. 95% UPL values are as reported in the NAS South Weymouth basewide background dataset, as amended in November 2002.

*Closeout Report Action Memorandum, Area of Concern 8 (Tetra Tech EC, 2006).

** The human health risk-based benchmarks used for the Phase II EBS are the most conservative (lowest) value under residential exposure scenarios provided in EPA Region III Risk Based Concentrations (RBCs) (EPA 1996) and MCP Method 1 Standards S-1/GW-1 values for soil (Massachusetts Contingency Plan - 310 CMR 40.0000). Non-carcinogenic EPA RBC values were reduced by a factor of 10, as a further conservative measure to account for potential additive effects.

*** Although 1.1 mg/kg exceeded the cleanup criterion of 1 mg/kg at a confirmatory sampling location near the former septic system, the Navy concluded that no further excavation was required in this area due to the low concentration value and the presence of multiple confirmatory sample results below 1 mg/kg in the immediate vicinity.
## TABLE 2-4

**PRE- AND POST-REMOVAL SEDIMENT SAMPLE RESULTS (DETECTED ANALYTES)**

**COMPARED TO NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH BACKGROUND LEVELS AND RISK-BASED SCREENING BENCHMARKS**

**AREA OF CONCERN 8 – WYOMING STREET AREA – BUILDING 70**

**NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH, WEYMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>AOC 8</th>
<th>Risk-Based Screening Benchmarks</th>
<th>NAS South Weymouth Background Levels</th>
<th>Removal Action Cleanup Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum Pre-Removal Concentration</td>
<td>Maximum Post-Removal Concentration</td>
<td>EBS Human Health Sediment Benchmark</td>
<td>SERA Eco Sediment Benchmark**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butanone</td>
<td>0.023 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methylbenzene</td>
<td>0.012 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pesticides/PCBs (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDD</td>
<td>0.027 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDE</td>
<td>0.015 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-DDT</td>
<td>0.025 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aroclor-1260*</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-benzanthracene</td>
<td>0.099 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-methylphenol (p-cresol)</td>
<td>0.22 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benz(a)anthracene</td>
<td>0.072 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(a)pyrene</td>
<td>0.078 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(b)fluoranthene</td>
<td>0.14 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo(g,h,i)perylene</td>
<td>0.048 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>231.6</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate</td>
<td>0.078 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di-n-butyl phthalate</td>
<td>0.043 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>0.18 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene</td>
<td>0.048 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>0.12 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>0.25 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2-4

**PRE- AND POST-REMOVAL SEDIMENT SAMPLE RESULTS (DETECTED ANALYTES)**

**COMPARSED TO NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH BACKGROUND LEVELS AND RISK-BASED SCREENING BENCHMARKS**

**AREA OF CONCERN 8 – WYOMING STREET AREA – BUILDING 70**

**NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH, WEYMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS**

**PAGE 2 OF 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>AOC 8</th>
<th>Risk-Based Screening Benchmarks</th>
<th>NAS South Weymouth Background Levels</th>
<th>Removal Action Cleanup Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum Pre-Removal Concentration</td>
<td>Maximum Post-Removal Concentration</td>
<td>EBS Human Health Sediment Benchmark</td>
<td>SERA Eco Sediment Benchmark**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>202.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (total)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>5300 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>24000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>72 J</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2346</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

- **Bold** = Sample result exceeds background level.
- **shaded** = Sample result exceeds human health or ecological screening benchmark.
- **--** = Not sampled (data) or not available (screening criteria or background data).
- **NA** = Not Applicable
- **J** = estimated value
- **EBS** = Environmental Baseline Survey
- **SERA** = Screening Ecological Risk Assessment
- **mg/kg** = milligrams per kilogram (parts per million)
TABLE 2-4
PRE- AND POST-REMOVAL SEDIMENT SAMPLE RESULTS (DETECTED ANALYTES)
COMPAred TO NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH BACKGROUND LEVELS AND RISK-BASEd SCREENING BENCHMARKS
AREA OF CONCERN 8 – WYOMING STREET AREA – BUILDING 70
NAS SOUTH WEYMOUTH, WEYMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS
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Cited background levels are the 95% Upper Prediction Limit (UPL) of the background dataset. Prediction limits provide coverage of 100% of future measurements with a given level of confidence (e.g., 95%). The UPL may be higher or lower than the maximum detected value, and defaults to the maximum in the case of non-parametric data or if more than half the samples are non-detect. 95% UPL values are as reported in the NAS South Weymouth basewide background dataset, as amended in November 2002.

*Closeout Report Action Memorandum (Tetra Tech EC, 2006) referred to wetland sediment as soil. See Tables 2-2 and 2-3 of this ROD for post-removal results in the wetland area.

** - Ecological screening benchmarks were based on selections from U.S. EPA sediment quality criteria, freshwater sediment screening values from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, effect range-low (ER-L) values from National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, and a variety of other literature sources (Stone & Webster, 2004).
**TABLE 2-5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Maximum Detected Concentration</th>
<th>EBS Human Health Benchmark</th>
<th>Background Level *</th>
<th>Federal MCL</th>
<th>MCP GW-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>15300</td>
<td>200 **</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>12.1 J</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>181.32</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>436 J</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>44137.52</td>
<td>300 **</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2680.63</td>
<td>50 **</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Cited background levels are the 95% Upper Prediction Limit (UPL) of the background dataset. Prediction limits provide coverage of 100% of future measurements with a given level of confidence (e.g., 95%). The UPL may be higher or lower than the maximum detected value, and defaults to the maximum in the case of non-parametric data or if more than half the samples are non-detect. 95% UPL values are as reported in the NAS South Weymouth basewide background dataset, as amended in November 2002.

** Secondary (non-enforceable) MCL

**NOTES:**

- **Bold** = Exceeds background.
- **shaded** = Sample result exceeds human health or ecological screening benchmark.
- **--** = Not available.
- **J** = estimated value
- **EBS** = Environmental Baseline Survey
- **ug/L** = micrograms per liter (parts per billion)
Record of Decision
Naval Air Station South Weymouth
Part 2 – Decision Summary

Figure 2-1: Site Location Map
Figure 2-2: Site Map
Figure 2-3: Sample Location Map (Pre-Removal)
Figure 2-4: Removal Action Excavation Limits and Exploratory Sample Locations

EXPLORATORY ROUNDS:
- 1/15/03 EXPLORATORY ROUND
- 2/23/03 EXPLORATORY ROUND
- 7/27/03 EXPLORATORY ROUND
- 8/19/03 EXPLORATORY ROUND
- 4/01/05 EXPLORATORY ROUND
- 7/23/04 EPA DIRECTED SAMPLES
- EBS INVESTIGATIVE SAMPLE LOCATION (2001)

EXCAVATION LIMITS

NOTE: SAMPLE ID’S WITH ITALICS SIGNIFY EXCEEDANCES OF TSCA RESIDENTIAL CRITERIA (1 ppm).

NOTES:
1. DISTANCES ARE APPROXIMATE.
2. ALL SAMPLE ID’s ARE PRECEDED BY ROAR.
3. RESULTS IN mg/kg. LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
Figure 2-5: Removal Action Excavation Limits and Confirmatory Sample Locations
PART 3—RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

I. STAKEHOLDER ISSUES AND NAVY RESPONSES

The Navy held a joint Public Hearing for two (2) Proposed Plans involving four (4) Areas of Concern (AOCs) on July 19, 2007. Verbal comments were received from several people during the public hearing on the Proposed Plan for AOC 4A (Air Traffic Control Area Abandoned Septic System) and AOC 55D (Wetland Area North of Trotter Road) and the Proposed Plan for AOC 8 (Wyoming Street Area – Building 70) and AOC 53 (Former Radio Transmitter Building Area). A copy of the transcript for the public hearing is provided as Appendix E. Responses to the verbal comments are provided in Section III of this Responsiveness Summary. No written comments concerning AOC 8 were received during the public comment period.

II. TECHNICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES

The Navy has reviewed all comments received and the Navy does not believe any of the public hearing comments necessitate a change from the No Further Action Proposal for AOC 8.

Therefore, the Navy and EPA believe that there is sufficient technical basis to proceed with the No Further Action ROD for AOC 8. By proceeding with this ROD, the Navy has completed all required CERCLA actions/investigations at the site.

III. COMMENT RESPONSES

Verbal Comments and Response

Note that the following verbal comments are paraphrased. Refer to the hearing transcript (Appendix E) for the complete version of the comments recorded during the public hearing held on July 19, 2007.

1. Comment from Harvey Welch, Weymouth—Mr. Welch asked why testing the effects of combinations of chemicals on mice is only now underway. He wondered how decisions about health impacts on children and adults can be made without knowing the effects of combinations of chemicals.

   Response—The Navy’s human health risk assessments follow a process developed in conjunction with EPA and MassDEP for AOCs at NAS South Weymouth. This process is based on the EPA CERCLA human health risk assessment approach, which currently sums the risks calculated for individual chemicals of concern at a site to get a total risk number. This risk assessment approach is conservative because it adds the risks from all contaminants, rather than adding risks from a subset of contaminants that target the same organ. To date, the science supporting risk assessments has been based on studies of individual chemicals, but not on synergistic effects from combinations of chemicals. EPA has noted that studies on mice using combinations of chemicals are now being conducted. The risk assessment process may be modified in the future should there be a scientifically-supported basis demonstrating significantly different synergistic risks resulting from combinations of chemicals, but it is likely that this is several years out. It is important to note that while many chemicals appear frequently at sites, the actual chemicals of concern can vary based on the known or assumed source(s) of contamination.

2. Comment from James Cunningham, Weymouth—Mr. Cunningham expressed a concern about all four sites regarding wetlands in general and the possible effect on the flora and fauna in the area. He also noted a concern about possible filling and use of wetland areas by the developer. At AOC 4A, he felt
the presence of the septic tank could be a hazard and have an impact on the wetlands. He suggested that the tank, and the distribution box, should be removed.

**Response**—The risk assessment process and outcomes summarized in the Proposed Plans for AOCs 4A, 55D, 8, and 53 are designed conservatively to ensure that there is no unacceptable risk to people and wildlife (e.g. flora and fauna). The AOC 4A and 55D streamlined human health and ecological risk assessments were conducted by Navy with input from EPA and MassDEP throughout the process. Both agencies concurred with the results of the risk assessments for AOCs 4A and 55D and Navy’s conclusions that No Action is appropriate at AOC 4A and 55D, and No Further Action is appropriate for AOCs 8 and 53. EPA and MassDEP concurred with the AOC 8 removal action report on December 4, 2006, and July 7, 2006, respectively. Regarding use of wetland areas by the developer, Navy does not have a role in the redevelopment process. However, the developer’s redevelopment activities must comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

At the time the septic system was inspected in 1999, South Shore Tri-Town Development Corporation (SSTTDC) had indicated a reuse potential for the control tower which the septic system supported. As such, Navy left the septic system in place to allow for its possible rehabilitation by SSTTDC to allow the tower to be reused. The SSTTDC plans have subsequently changed. As noted in the response to Mr. McCormack’s written comment, Navy plans to abandon the septic system in place, in accordance with applicable state regulations.

3. **Comment from Harvey Welch, Weymouth**—Mr. Welch suggested including the roads surrounding the base on maps of the base to help the public orient themselves.

**Response**—As appropriate, Navy will include roads surrounding the base on maps presented in future Proposed Plans.

4. **Comment from Peter Scannell, Weymouth**—Mr. Scannell stated that he is uncomfortable hearing about acceptable levels of chemicals and the conclusions leading to no further action. He also acknowledged that the best science available has been used in the risk assessments. However, his concern is the presence of chemicals in these areas, even though they are at levels deemed acceptable by the risk assessments.

**Response**—Please see the Responses to Comments # 1 and #2 above.

5. **Comment from Ann Hilbert, North Weymouth**—Ms. Hilbert expressed a concern about the health study and asked why Navy doesn’t do their own health assessment.

**Response**—EPA has listed NAS South Weymouth on the National Priorities List (NPL). Accordingly, the Navy is following the CERCLA process at NAS South Weymouth to evaluate potential risks associated with exposures to concentrations of chemicals present at a site. The CERCLA process does not include an evaluation of public health issues related to historical exposures to chemicals in the environment. Public health and epidemiological studies of historical exposures are the responsibility of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH) and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). The MDPH has recently conducted an amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and multiple sclerosis (MS) study, and in 1999 ATSDR completed a public health assessment of NAS South Weymouth. While the Navy had no direct involvement with either the MDPH or ATSDR studies, the ATSDR study used Navy environmental data available at the time. The ATSDR study can be found at: http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/PHA/weymouth/wey_toc.html.

6. **Comment from Joanne Rakers**—Ms. Rakers asked how to know if a chemical is toxic or not and at what level a chemical, such as arsenic, is higher than the normal level it should be. She also asked about details of the benchmark screening process and why if a chemical exceeds a level it isn’t cleaned up.
Ms. Rakers also indicated a desire to know what is leaking from the Rubble Disposal Area (RDA) into Swamp River. She wants to see things cleaned up.

Response—The Proposed Plans presented at the July 19th public meeting summarized field work performed over many years as well as a large amount of chemical data that are discussed in detail in a number of reports. The documents applicable to each of the four AOCs are listed in a table at the end of each Proposed Plan and are available at the information repositories listed on the final page of each Proposed Plan. Details regarding the benchmark screening process conducted for AOCs 4A and 55D are in the streamlined human health risk assessments (HHRA) and streamlined ecological risk assessments (ERA) for each AOC. Site data were compared to screening benchmarks (guideline concentrations) to determine whether potential health effects were possible and if further assessment and/or remediation were required. The benchmarks are a preliminary screen and are not intended to be regulatory standards. Specific risk-based cleanup levels (concentrations) were developed for sites where it was determined that cleanup was warranted (e.g., AOCs 8 and 53). The specific benchmarks and cleanup levels are available for public review in the risk assessment (and other) documents. The Navy encourages the public to review the investigation reports to gain a better understanding of the environmental activities completed at each site. Consistent with the CERCLA process followed for the AOCs, and with input and review from EPA and MassDEP, chemicals detected in environmental media do not need to be ‘cleaned up’ if they are determined to be at concentrations that result in no unacceptable risk to human health and the environment or that are within background levels (e.g., many metals such as arsenic can be naturally occurring to some degree based on the site geology).

Navy has closed the RDA consistent with the Record of Decision signed by Navy and EPA in December 2003. The selected remedy included a cover system (landfill cap), which has been completed, and long-term monitoring, which is underway. The long-term monitoring reports are provided to the regulators, RAB town representatives, and the local repositories. Navy encourages the public to review these reports, which include the analytical results of all samples collected, to gain a better understanding of the long-term monitoring process and results.

7. Comment from Michael Smart, Weymouth—Mr. Smart commented that he felt that Navy did a thorough job on the work completed at AOCs 8 and 53. He agreed with Mr. Cunningham that the septic tank at AOC 4A should be removed. In addition, Mr. Smart stated his opinion that all material should be removed regardless of the level, especially the sediments in the wetland areas at AOCs 4A and 55D.

Response—Navy appreciates the acknowledgement of the work completed for AOCs 8 and 53. As noted in the response to Mr. McCormack’s written comment, Navy plans to abandon the septic system in place, in accordance with applicable state regulations. As noted in the Response to Comment #6, consistent with the CERCLA process, chemicals detected in environmental media do not need to be ‘cleaned up’ if they are determined to be at concentrations that result in no unacceptable risk to human health and the environment.

8. Comment from Dominic Galluzzo, Weymouth—Mr. Galluzzo noted that with the presentations on the Proposed Plans, the approximately two-thirds of the base that is ready to transfer have few contaminants of concern and little risk to humans. However, he expressed his skepticism as to the cleanliness of the land that will be redeveloped according to the reuse plan.

Response—As Mr. Galluzzo accurately noted, there have been few contaminants of concern found in all the investigations Navy has completed to date in accordance with the CERCLA process. The risk assessments that have been completed have also generally concluded low risks to human health and the environment. As mentioned in the responses above, in particular the Response to Comment #6, the CERCLA process followed by Navy with input and review by EPA and MassDEP, can result in conclusions of no unacceptable risk even though detected chemicals are present. The rigorous risk
assessment process developed by EPA, and followed by Navy, does not require cleanup of a site when there is no unacceptable risk or when concentrations are below background levels.
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Refer to attached copy.
Mr. James T. Owens, Director
Office of Site Remediation and Restoration
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
One Congress Street, Suite 1100
Boston, MA 02114-2023

Re: Record of Decision
Area of Concern 8
Former South Weymouth NAS
MassDEP RTN 4-3002621
January 15, 2008

Dear Mr. Owens:

The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) has reviewed the Record of Decision, Area of Concern 8 – Wyoming Street Area – Building 70, Naval Air Station South Weymouth, dated December 2007. The Record of Decision (ROD) summarizes the results from the investigations conducted during the Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) and the results from the removal actions that were conducted to address unacceptable risks to human health of the environment, and documents the Navy’s rationale for selecting a No Further Action decision for the site. MassDEP concurs with the selected decision.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact David Chaffin, Project Manager (617-348-4005), or Anne Malewicz, Federal Facilities Section Chief (617-292-5659).

Sincerely,

Janine Commerford
Assistant Commissioner

CC: D. Barney, USN-S, Weymouth
K. Keckler, USEPA
Executive Director, SSTDC
RAB Members
J. Felix, MADEP-Boston
J. Naparstek, MADEP-Boston
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APPENDIX C: GLOSSARY

Action Memorandum—A document authorizing and outlining the cleanup plan that will be followed as part of a short-term cleanup under CERCLA.

Area of Concern (AOC)—An area initially identified during the Environmental Baseline Survey as a Review Item Area (RIA) and currently being investigated under CERCLA. These sites require either removal actions or risk assessments to identify the potential current and future effects on human health and the environment.

Background Level—Chemicals or concentrations of chemicals present in the environment due to naturally occurring geochemical processes and sources, or to human activities not related to specific point sources or site releases.

Benchmark—Concentration of a chemical considered to be protective of human health or the environment.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)—A federal law passed in 1980 and amended in 1986 by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act. The Act created a special tax that goes into a Trust Fund, commonly known as Superfund, to investigate and clean up abandoned or uncontrolled hazardous waste sites. Navy compliance with CERCLA/Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (see Installation Restoration Program definition) is funded by the Department of Defense under the Defense Environmental Restoration Act.

Chemical of Potential Concern (COPC)—A compound or element identified as a possible source of risk, based upon a comparison between the chemical concentration and established screening levels.

Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS)—An environmental assessment conducted by the Navy at bases that have been closed under the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Act.

Groundwater—Water found beneath the Earth’s surface in soil pore spaces and fractures in geologic formations. When formations yield water in sufficient quantity and quality (i.e., an aquifer), groundwater is often used as a water supply.

National Priorities List (NPL)—U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s list of sites for priority cleanup under the Superfund program.

No Action/No Further Action—Under CERCLA, if there are no unacceptable risks to human health or the environment at a site, then “no action” is required (i.e., no remediation, monitoring, or land use restrictions, etc.). If remediation is conducted in order to achieve the condition of no unacceptable risk, then the site requires “no further action” under CERCLA.

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons—Chemical compounds such as benzo(a)pyrene, naphthalene, anthracene, and phenanthrene, which are usually byproducts of incomplete combustion. PAHs can occur naturally (e.g., from forest fires) and as the consequence of human activities.

Proposed Plan—A CERCLA document that summarizes the lead agency’s (in this case, the Navy’s) preferred cleanup remedy for a site and provides the public with information on how they can participate in the remedy selection process.
Record of Decision (ROD)—A legal, technical, and public document under CERCLA that explains the rationale and final cleanup decision for a site. It contains a summary of the public’s involvement in the cleanup decision.

Removal Action—A type of short-term cleanup that can be conducted at any time during the CERCLA process to address threats to human health or the environment. Typically, “time critical” removal actions are conducted when it is determined that less than 6 months are available before site activities must be initiated and when the site has less complex or less extensive contamination than sites that would require long-term cleanup. An Action Memorandum is prepared to outline the removal action.

Responsiveness Summary—A CERCLA document containing the responses to the formal comments submitted by the public regarding the Proposed Plan. This summary is issued as an appendix to the ROD.

Review Item Area (RIA)—A site identified during a Phase I EBS that required further study for potential contamination.
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<td>Turtle Investigation Report for CY 2000</td>
<td>4/01</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS (ENSR)</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>Basewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6-5</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Turtle Survey Memo</td>
<td>4/04</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS (ENSR)</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 4.0 FEASIBILITY STUDY
### 4.8 Proposed Plans for Selected Remedial Action

| 4.8      | 4.8-1 | R         | Proposed Plan, AOC 8, 53, Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Weymouth, Massachusetts | 6/07 | U.S. Department of the Navy | Public | A.R. File | 8, 53 |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transcript of the Public Hearing on the Proposed Plan for the AOCs 8 and 53 [included as Appendix E of the AOC 8 and 53 Records of Decision]</td>
<td>7/19/07</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>8, 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Responsiveness Summary [included as Part 3 of the Record of Decision for AOC 8]</td>
<td>12/07</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>8, 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Final Record of Decision, Area of Concern 8 (Parts 1 &amp; 2) Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>12/07</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy and EPA</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal Facility Agreement for South Weymouth Naval Air Station National Priorities List Site</td>
<td>4/00</td>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Relations Plan Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>7/98</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Restoration Advisory Board Workshop Guidebook</td>
<td>7/94</td>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>Basewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Notice: Availability of the Proposed Plan, and Notification of Public Meeting and Comment Period</td>
<td>7/07</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>Basewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes (Monthly)</td>
<td>1995-2007</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>Basewide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5-1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Fact Sheets/Information Updates</td>
<td>The Former Naval Air Station South Weymouth Environmental Fact Sheet</td>
<td>2/98</td>
<td>EA Engineering, Science, and Technology</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>Basewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5-2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Public Notice: Public Information and Public Hearing for the AOC 8 and 53 Proposed Plan</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>8, 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5-3</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Legal Notice, Record of Decision Available For AOC 8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.6-1</td>
<td>Mailing Lists</td>
<td>Community Relations Mailing List: State, Federal and Local Agencies (including Media and Public Libraries)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>Basewide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.6-2</td>
<td>Mailing Lists</td>
<td>Community Relations Mailing List: Other Parties (e.g., general public) – CONFIDENTIAL (due to potential Privacy Act violations)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>Basewide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 17.0 SITE MANAGEMENT RECORDS

### 17.6 Site Management Plans and Reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Site Management Plan Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>10/99</td>
<td>EA Engineering, Science, and Technology</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Site Management Plan Revision 1.0 Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>10/00</td>
<td>EA Engineering, Science, and Technology</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Site Management Plan Revision 2.0 Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>11/01</td>
<td>EA Engineering, Science, and Technology</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Site Management Plan Revision 3.0 Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>4/03</td>
<td>EA Engineering, Science, and Technology</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-5</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Site Management Plan Revision 4.0 Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>12/04</td>
<td>EA Engineering, Science, and Technology</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-6</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Draft Site Management Plan Revision 5.0 Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>8/05</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Management Plan Revision 6.0 Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>10/31/06</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 4A, 14, 55D, 83, 8, 53, 60, 61, Hangar 1, 55C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6-8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Management Plan Revision 7.0 Naval Air Station South Weymouth, Massachusetts</td>
<td>09/07</td>
<td>Tetra Tech NUS</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Navy</td>
<td>A.R. File</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 4A, 14, 55D, 83, 8, 53, 60, 61, Hangar 1, 55C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) R = Report; L = Letter.

**NOTES:**

- AOC = Area of Concern
- A.R. File = Administrative Record File
- EBS = Environmental Baseline Survey
- EFANE = (Navy) Engineering Field Activity Northeast
- EPA = (U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency (Region 1)
- MassDEP = Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
- N/A = Not Applicable
- NAS = Naval Air Station
- RIA = Review Item Area
APPENDIX E: TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED PLAN FOR AOC 8

Refer to attached copy.
PUBLIC HEARING

Area of Concern 4 A
Area of Concern 55D
Area of Concern 8
Area of Concern 53

Naval Air Station South Weymouth
Weymouth, MA

July 19, 2007
8 p.m.
NAS South Weymouth, MA
PROCEDINGS

MS. ROBERTS: We are officially going to begin with the public hearing. And just so that people know how this process runs, this is the formal process. So what will happen is this is an opportunity for you to make your comments, ask questions, and they will be formally recorded in the record. Those will appear in the Responsiveness Summary that is part of the Record of Decision.

So when you have your comment, we're going to take you one at a time, just say your name and then your comment or your question. They'll be recorded. Just keep in mind that during public hearings your questions are not answered. Your answers will be part of the Responsiveness Summary.

VOICE: Will we all get a responsiveness summary of who gave questions or comments?

MR. BARNEY: Yes. Everybody who makes a written or an oral comment will get a copy of the Responsiveness Summary.

MS. ROBERTS: Just so that we're clear, the comments or the questions are related to the
floor presentation. So we'd like to stay on topic.

MR. GALLUZZO: Before we start, what is
the timeframe from this process to a response?

MS. ROBERTS: Great question. Does
somebody want to answer that?

MR. BARNEY: I'll go through the
structure of the process. Roughly 30 days after the
close of the comment period we'll submit a draft
Record of Decision to the agencies for review.
They'll have 30 days to review that, send us our
comments or send us their comments. We'll work to
resolve those comments and send them a draft final
Record of Decision, and the Responsiveness Summary
is a part of that. They'll look at those for a
period of time and hopefully we can reconcile within
30 to 60 days and furnish a final Record of
Decision.

So did you add up all those 30s?

VOICE: Looks like February.

MS. CALL: I think it's December we
expect, we hope.

MR. BARNEY: Between 4 and 6 months.

MS. ROBERTS: Harvey.
MR. WELCH: So that would be about 4 or 6 months for that West Gate Landfill from now? If it was closed July 6th, comment period.

MR. BARNEY: If it takes us that many iterations to get through, perhaps we can cut down the iteration effect between the Navy, the agencies, on the elements of the Record of Decision.

MR. CHAFFIN: Maybe briefly mention the comment period for these as proposed.

MR. BARNEY: Yes. The period closes August 1st.

MR. CHAFFIN: You can write your comments, if you're not comfortable doing it orally tonight, there is a place in the Proposed Plan, a form you can use.

MS. ROBERTS: Yes, you can do both. If there is something you remember after tonight's forum, always go ahead and submit them in writing as well.

MR. BARNEY: It closes August 1st. We usually wait 3 to 4 days after that for the mail to come in.

MS. ROBERTS: So who would like to
start? Dave, do you have anything you would like to
start with?

MR. BARNEY: I would like to thank
everyone for coming, and I appreciate the comments
we heard earlier, and if I hadn't addressed any of
those sufficiently, please readdress those here
tonight and compel us to come back with a new
response or alternate response.

MS. ROBERTS: Harvey.

MR. WELCH: Harvey Welch from Weymouth.
I would like to know why they are just starting to
test what you said on mice these combinations of
toxic chemicals to get an accurate assessment of how
it's affecting children and adults, people. How can
you make a good judgment decision when -- what
amazes me, you're just starting this now, and how
can you make a good judgment decision on these sites
with the cocktail of chemicals that are on there
when you really don't know what this -- I know you
talk about adding up things, but I'm talking about
literally doing tests with these toxic chemicals on
mice which you said they just supposedly started
doing, which is amazing to me. In other words how
can you make a good judgment on not doing those
tests? That's my question.

MS. ROBERTS: All right.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: James Cunningham from
Weymouth. First of all I would like to know if
you're taking these, first the Area 4 A and so forth
and then later Area 8 and 53, or are you taking them
all at one time?

MR. BARNEY: All at one time.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: On the Area 4 A, the
abandoned septic system, I have concerns with the
words, the only area of potential inaccessible --
unacceptable risk at AOC 4 A was the wetland west of
the site. That word potential to me is kind of a
weasel word, and I'm really concerned about the
welfare of animals and the environment. And I'm
concerned that the animals may be subject to some
sort of pollution that will harm them.

I'm also concerned that the developer
will probably fill in some wetlands and use these
places for buildings and that they may be
contaminated then. So I'm concerned about wetlands
in general. In all of these four sites I am
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concerned about the wetlands and the possible effect on the animals and flora and fauna in the area.

Also on Site 4 A the septic tank, I'm concerned that it is possible that the septic tank could rot out and become a sink hole or become some sort of a hazard and could fill up with some kind of water and become just another little sewage pond. So I believe that the septic tank should be removed, especially when you consider the requirements under Title V. And perhaps also the distribution box. And I am concerned that it's so close to the wetlands and that materials from the septic tank could have gone into the wetlands.

Again, I am concerned about the environmental or natural environment of this area and the animals and plants that it supports. So I would like to see that tank removed, and I would like to make sure that the animals don't get injured and the people who live nearby after the places are built out also don't get any injurious results.

Thank you.

MS. ROBERTS: Anyone else. Yes.

MR. WELCH: This has to do with the
actual presentation pamphlet that you handed out. I have been asking this, Dave, I don't know, I know I talked to you about this, of having a map of the base with the roads surrounding the base on it so people can see where they are living, and they can match it up to where they are near the base. Do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. BARNEY: Absolutely.

MR. WELCH: And even in this presentation you look at, you see a block basically which is what we have being looking at since we started, with no streets around it. It's like, always like it's planted here from outer space, and you have no streets around it. It should have streets around it so people can get an idea of where West Gate Landfill is. They could be living up the street from it. They don't know that because it's a blob on a map. You can't picture it if you have no orientation. That's the word I'm looking for, orientation on this map. You can't do that. That makes a big difference. And how come we can't do that? I don't think that's so hard to do. Why can't we do that? Is there a reason?
MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

MR. WELCH: I'm just asking.

MS. ROBERTS: Can't answer for the public hearing.

MR. WELCH: I'm sorry. That's a question.

MS. ROBERTS: After the hearing is over he might be able to answer that.

MR. SCANNELL: Peter Scannell of Weymouth, Mass. We all feel extremely uncomfortable when we hear about acceptable levels of some of the SVOCs and so forth found, to say that there is no further action will be taking place in areas where PCBs, thallium, benzos and so on so forth, extraordinarily dangerous, in name, exist. And to know very well that not only is no action going to be taken, and because of cost restraints and so forth, and assume public contact with those areas would be minimal or whatever the risk assessment analysis use is acceptable. Again, that is our concern. Pardon me, that is my concern. I know for sure that nobody has to this day said no. As a matter of fact of course we're going to make sure
that people are aware of these various areas and in a historical nature.

I understand it was said tonight that we do not in the Superfund world rely on history. We understand quite well that's because of liability. So these are all the things that deeply concern us tonight, and we understand, again as we've talked, that the carcinogenic risk assessment does not take into consideration MS, soft tissue diseases, and so forth and so on. As this gentleman just said, combinations of elements.

We are just beginning to tackle that science. And it was very succinctly said here tonight that we are using the best science we have available. I absolutely believe that of this board and of the Navy. And I applaud them for using that, and I know it's extraordinarily expensive. At the same token, knowing very well there will be better science in the future and knowing the nature of these particular chemicals in these areas, it's just the part that irks the heck out of me is that we're not addressing that they are here.

How do we live with them? There is no
pamphlet for the people that are going to be lured
to Southfield on how to live in a Superfund site or
among Superfund sites or remediated Superfund sites.
Children, little Johnny going in the water. It was
said here tonight that you'd show no precaution to
your grandchild or daughter if you decided to go
into the wetland looking for turtles knowing very
well what is there. I greatly doubt that. I think
you'd probably get a little nervous when she was
bringing her hand to her mouth repeatedly. And that
would be justified. And it's just that knowledge.
People deserve to have that knowledge or else nobody
would buy it. That is the concern.

So again, full disclosure. The nemesis
of firms like LNR, read their history and so forth.
That is our concern. Your findings I absolutely
applaud the tenacity, perseverance, level of
integrity that's been brought and what has been
found, and you are hamstrung in that you are given
benchmarks and you don't dictate these acceptable
levels. These are the things that you're supposed
to work within knowing full well that they are not
perfect, and that's understandable, but precautions
that are not being taken that are so easy to do and
that knowing South Shore Tri Town has never once
addressed them and as a matter of fact wants to
create an orchard environment to beckon people to
this base knowing what is in here is extraordinary.
Thank you.

ANN HILBERT: Ann Hilbert, North
Weymouth. I'm concerned about what I heard tonight.
I asked about the health study, and the Navy is
relying on the Department of Environmental Affairs.
I have been around a while so I'm familiar with the
politics in Massachusetts. Why is the Navy
depending on them? Why don't they come in and do
their own assessment. This is going to live in
infamy if this isn't done right.

MS. RAKERS: Joanne Rakers. I have
been coming for many years here and every time you
send us something I learn a little more. I was just
reading through the AOC, the 55 D, and every time
I've ever asked questions about compounds or
mixtures, how you know that it's toxic or not. What
level, like I asked before, what would arsenic be
for in water, arsenic out of water. What would it
be, the level that we can go after and say this level is higher than the normal level that it should be at.

In here I was reading semi-volatile stuff you have in here, and you say they were fine but one sediment example was over the screening level. What is over the screening level? I need to find out exactly what each thing is toxic to or not toxic to. You have tons of it here. It says pesticides exceeded benchmark screening levels in both soil and water. How high was it? I would like to know how to figure it out myself. I mean I go through these, benzene, everything in here that is very toxic. All of a sudden you give us different categories like one of benzo, you said it's 0.056 ug/L. What does that basically mean? It's too high or too low or it's okay, but if it's mixed with another chemical, at which I missed the program this morning, sorry I missed most of it, but every time I go through these it says within the range or over it exceeds. If it over exceeds that means there is something wrong with it. Why isn't it cleaned up all the way?
It can leak. You are capping all these things that do leak. We understand that. But why do we have to wait for it to leak again for you to fix it? It is our kids' lives that are there.

The rubbish disposal area, we know there is all kinds of crap in there running into the Swamp River and into our water system, but nobody has the guts to tell us what it is or what the process is to clean it. We should be able to know what's in it. And you make the statements it's over above the level of DEP's evaluation of it. We have got to know exactly what it was. I would love to find out everything you have in here that gives you the examples of DDT. It's 0.035, ug-L. How high does DDT have to be before it hurts somebody?

I just think you need not to cover this up, just clean it as best you can and let us live half a decent life with our children. If not, I wouldn't let my child come here and sit in a field with a fence around it. I would not and I don't think half of you would too. Jim Cunningham brought this up years ago. Oh, Joanne, it's fine. They're going to put a gate around it. No one is going to
go in it. But would he come with his grandchild and sit in the middle and have a picnic with his kids? No.

And to have this statement and bunch of stuff we're going through all these years, putting a fence is not going to stop a child from climbing over if you put a sign on it. I'd just love to clean it up, clean it so we can start anew in Weymouth. That's all I ask.

MR. SMART: Michael Smart from Weymouth. First I just want to comment on AOC 8 and 53. Just to follow up on one of the comments made earlier, just to thank the Navy for their hard work on those particular two sites with over 3 million pounds of soil removed over a number of years from 2001 right through 2005 in checking it and monitoring it. I think you did a thorough job on those two sites there.

However, on the other two sites on 4 A and 55, I would have to agree with Mr. Cunningham with regard to the septic tank on 4 A with having everything removed, and I as well have been coming here for a number of years and commenting. And Dave
I think you know, my usual take on things that were not here prior to 1940 that everything should be removed with regard to the sediments in the wetland area on 4, 55 and 4 A. PCB levels everything should be removed. In my opinion, I've said it at every single Record of Decision, every single public hearing, all that material should be removed in my opinion regardless of the level. And none of that stuff was here. I understand baseline survey and I understand PCB in the air base from the number of meetings I've been to, but things that were here prior to the Navy taking the property with regard to electrical equipment, transformers, and the antenna field and everything out there, I would think that everything should be removed including the areas in the wetlands on both 4 A and 55. Thank you.

MS. ROBERTS: Yes.

MR. GALLUZZO: Dominic Galluzzo of Weymouth. I have to agree with Mr. Smart's comments. I'm disappointed at this point that after tonight's presentations we come to realize that almost two-thirds or better than two-thirds of this footprint is ready for transfer with so little
contamination of concern and that the risk
assessments to humans is so low. This base was
active when environmental concerns were primitive
compared to today. I just as one individual become
increasingly more skeptical as to the cleanliness of
the land that the reuse plan says there is going to
be a densely populated reuse plan. Thank you.

MS. ROBERTS: Any other comments? This
concludes our public hearing. Thank you for coming.
Thank you for your time.

Dave, do you want to say anything else
before we close?

MR. BARNEY: The sentiment that I
appreciate people taking the time out of their busy
lives to come here and express their opinions.
Thank you.

(The proceedings adjourned
at 8:41 p.m.)
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