



MEETING MINUTES

Restoration Advisory Board (RAB)

For the Former Naval Station Roosevelt Roads

Club Cívico La Seyba, Ceiba, Puerto Rico

Meeting No. 7 - January 16, 2008

Note: These minutes are a summary based on informal notes taken at the meeting. They are not intended as a verbatim transcript and may not have captured everything that was discussed. If comments or additional notes are provided by others who were present at the meeting, within 30 days of distribution of these minutes, those will be added as an attachment to these minutes.

I. CALL TO ORDER AND WELCOMING REMARKS

The meeting began at 6:12 p.m. with Susana Struve (CH2M HILL) welcoming RAB members and visitors. Attachment 1 provides the attendance list.

II. ACTION ITEMS

Mark Davidson discussed the status of the following action items, which were carried forward from the October 2007 RAB meeting. Closed action items are shown in the minutes from each RAB meeting respectively. This table shows ongoing items and those items that were closed at this meeting.

Item	Description	Discussion	Status
#1	Navy and RAB community members: Finalize and sign the Roosevelt Roads RAB Charter	All RAB members attending the meeting signed the Roosevelt Roads RAB Charter.	Closed

1

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

Item	Description	Discussion	Status
#2	Navy: Provide more information about suspicions that a cache of ammunition had been covered over (vegetated mound observed in the magazine area, during the RAB March 2007 site tour - "the mound").	After an investigation of Navy's records and discussions with the Navy's Radiological Affairs Safety Office (RASO), RASO concluded that the area does not need further investigation. The mound was to deflect the force of the blast upward in case of an accidental detonation, thus protecting nearby buildings and occupants.	Closed
#3	Lilyana Betancourt: Share with the Navy her list of buildings/facilities she believes are affected by contaminants	Lilyana stated she will share the list when she feels the Navy deserves her information; it will be at least 2 years. Navy provided her a detailed map showing all facilities and existing contamination.	Closed
#4	Navy: Update about status of the new Pole Yard Site	After a site inspection, the site originally mentioned by RAB member Ismael Velazquez, was added to the list of sites under investigation, EPA was notified and a RCRA Phase I Investigation (RFI) was begun; this new site is designated as SWMU 78	Closed
#5	Conservation Trust: Status of Management Plan for Conservation Areas	The Trust plans to get community input when they are ready to start developing the management plan for the conservation areas in coordination with Dept. of Natural Resources (DNER). That will be after the lands are transferred from US Department of Interior to DNER (see further discussion below).	Closed
#6	Local Reuse Authority (LRA): Take Rafael Montes to the dry area across the bay [mud flats near the housing area beach] and respond to his concerns.	As of the meeting, Alfonso Martinez (LRA) had not taken RAB member Rafael Montes to this area. The Navy provided some pictures of the area believed to be in question.	Open

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

Item	Description	Discussion	Status
#7	Navy: update on the security measures on Piñeros Island and verify what the signage says on the Island.	Mark Davidson indicated that two new signs have been made and will be placed on the island soon, and blown over signs will be put back up. The security boat is back in operation and will resume patrols. He encouraged the public to stay away due to the threat of unexploded ordnance.	Closed
#8	LRA: Provide update on the Hospital Application, and what benefit the hospital will be to the local community	To date, the application has not been approved by the Department of Health and Human Services. LRA will provide continued updates. (see further discussions below)	Ongoing
#9	Navy: Options for cleanup if the governor does not sign CDR; impact on schedule LRA	The Governor approved the CDR for the airport only. The Navy will transfer the airport, including the environmental sites, to the Commonwealth in early Feb. The Navy will use a fixed-price contract to complete the environmental cleanup of the airport sites. For Parcel I, the environmental sites will be "carved out" and the clean portions sold. The Navy will retain ownership of the Parcel I environmental sites and continue with the cleanup. The carved out environmental sites will be transferred to the Parcel I owner upon completion of the cleanup. Parcel II has no sites and will be sold along with Parcel I. Parcel III cleanup strategy will be determined later and it will be sold later in 2008	Closed
#10	LRA to request DNER participation in RAB meetings.	DNER attended this meeting	Closed
#11	Ramón Figueroa: Clarify the controversy of the dry forest location [on Punta Puerca] and designated use concern.	Tito Colorado indicated the dry forest on Punta Puerca will not be touched. Whoever buys the parcel will have to preserve it or transfer it to the DNER. He invited RAB members to his office to see what they are doing and review the reports they have sent to the developers.	Closed

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

Discussion Points:

Action Item # 5 - Conservation Trust: Status of Management Plan for recreation compatible with mangroves

- Vicente Quevedo (Department of Natural and Environmental Resources [DNER]) explained that they will be developing the Management Plan for the conservation areas in coordination with the Conservation Trust, once the parcels are transferred to the PR government.
- Ramon Figueroa (Community Co-Chair) - I heard that a plan will not be developed until the deed is signed. We know which parcels will be transferred; I can't believe that as of today, there's not even a preliminary plan.
- Vicente Quevedo - The way it has been structured is that the Conservation Trust and other competitors submit proposals to DNER. In 2006, DNER signed an agreement with the Conservation Trust. Within the multiple tasks, plan preparation was included once their role as administrator begins. Those functions will still be in place, even if the Conservation Trust is the leader in developing the management plan.
- Jorge Fernandez Porto (RAB Member) - This would be the third time that we've asked without an answer, even in general terms: what is the Conservation Trust proposal for how they would be handling those transfers, what are they planning to develop? Why don't they have any idea yet, about how the community will be involved, what kinds of activities are being planned, and how it will be developed?
- Lirio Marquez (RAB Member) - Vicente mentioned that there are some general designs. What's the timeframe for that and how is it going to be done? Will the community will be involved?
- Jorge Fernandez - Will you give the RAB a copy of the designs?
- Jorge Baez (Conservation Trust): Nothing has been developed yet, because we don't know which ecosystems we will be addressing. When appropriate, we will develop a Management Plan with the community, for their recreational enjoyment. Meanwhile, the Conservation Trust is exploring working with the community. I don't want you to have the wrong idea that we have worked out something without community participation. There's no plan yet, but there's a methodology that will be followed once the transfer process is complete.
- Vicente Quevedo (DNER) - Obviously, some specific parcels were identified for conservation. With that knowledge, DNER, complying with the procedures, presented a formal application for title transfer to the US Department of the Interior. In that document, based on the parcels, a technical assessment was done to identify the issues that need to be addressed. Obviously, the ideal situation would be to

4

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

know which parcels will be transferred. This proposal will be available to you, as a summary of those preliminary conservation and recreational issues that were considered relevant at that time.

- Ramón Figueroa - The least we can expect of the Conservation Trust, DNER and the agencies is to be proactive. We know the areas, the land is available for an assessment to confirm what's there. Time is running out, there's pressure from the community, and the press is after us everyday because the transfer is not done. I am not an environmentalist and I know to some degree what (birds, snakes) are there. If you need any information from el Portal del Futuro (Local Reuse Authority [LRA]), permission to go into the areas, whatever you need is available (Tito Colorado can confirm that). We cannot wait until the deeds are signed to make a plan. Based on your experience, how long would it take to do that, the most simple and the most complex plans?
- Jorge Baez - If you look at the document mentioned by Vicente, you will notice that it indicates the importance of preserving the ecosystems. There are some management plans that do not talk specifically of what's going to be done with the parcels. It might take from 6 months to a year to develop it, depending on community input.
- Lirio Marquez - In the end, who will determine the process to be followed and who will establish what the use of the reserve is going to be: the Conservation Trust, DNER, the community? Who is going to work on that?
- Vicente Quevedo - According to what has been discussed, an advisory board will be established, with the DNER Secretary, the Conservation Trust Executive Director and the Director of the Portal del Futuro office. Objectives and specific tasks would need the endorsement of that board. It is expected that the approach will be similar to the original proposal submitted by the Conservation Trust.
- Agustín Velázquez (RAB member) - I wanted to ask the Conservation Trust for copies of those reports, because the community asks us for information; we don't have anything to say, because we don't have any information. The community says that nothing is being done; we need to have information to share with them.
- Susana Struve (CH2M HILL facilitator) - The RAB is the mechanism to reach the community; I urge the agencies to provide the RAB members with the information they need.
- Luís Velázquez - Who demarcates the parcels that will be transferred to the Conservation Trust or the DNER?
- Tito Colorado (Portal Del Futuro) - My recommendation to the DNER and to the Conservation Trust, is to add one or two community representatives to the advisory board.

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

Action Item # 8 - Update on the Hospital application and what benefit the hospital will be to the local community

- Tito Colorado - There was a problem with the application sent by the hospital personnel to US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). It seems that the bureaucracy of DHHS did not understand the process, since the application clearly stated that the hospital corporation has the resources to do what they must, and DHHS denied their request. We have requested a new review because the reason to deny the application is not valid; the hospital has the funds and also a bank to back them up. We hope that this is resolved as soon as possible, in order for this hospital to be of benefit for the Ceiba, Fajardo and Naguabo communities.
- Jorge Fernandez - At the last meeting, we asked why the authorities would grant the deed to the San Lucas Corporation free of charge. There's no clear explanation of why the state did not keep it. There also was an expectation from the Ceiba and Naguabo community, that because a corporation was going to have the hospital, certain services or economic benefits would be obtained for the area residents, but nothing has been said about this. We have no idea of what they are going to do there; do you have any information about it?
- Tito Colorado - These are decisions that were made four years ago. There were applications, which were analyzed, and the properties were granted to one of the applicants. What was evaluated and why it was granted to this group and why the government did not keep it, I don't know because I wasn't there at the time. As far as community benefits, I had a meeting with the hospital management; they were going to help us with the people who believed they had suffered health problems due to the Navy presence. I promised myself to meet with them, to see how they can help the community, find out more details, and tell them what we want to do.
- Lirio Márquez - There were some expectations that the Hospital was going to the University of Puerto Rico to be a public hospital. If DHHS persists in their denial, will the PR Department of Health or the San Lucas Corporation appeal? If the denial is maintained, what would happen?
- Tito Colorado - At that point, we would have to study other alternatives. One would be transferring the hospital to the LRA.
- Ramón Figueroa - I did general research on the deed to the hospital. If it is obtained under the Public Benefit Conveyance clause, the hospital or the corporation definitely cannot mortgage, sell or speculate on the property; there's a restrictive clause. In the worst case, if the corporation bankrupts, it immediately reverts back to the Navy and they will dispose of it.
- William Lourido (RAB member) - More or less, what is the considered value of the property (the hospital)?

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

- Tito Colorado – An estimated price would be \$50,000 per acre, plus the value of the building
- William Lourido – Where is it guaranteed, what Mr. Figueroa just said?
- Tito Colorado – It is in the law and in the deed.

III. WHAT'S NEW!

Mark Davidson talked about the Covenant Deferral Request, the strategy for upcoming sale parcels and the Hospital and Clinic Transfers.

Covenant Deferral Request:

- Mark Davidson – The Covenant Deferral Request has been approved by the Governor for the airport parcel only. The Navy will transfer the airport to the Commonwealth in early February. The Navy agreed to use a fixed-price contract to complete the cleanup of the airport parcel sites.
- Tito Colorado – The government allowed the Navy to transfer the airport and the Navy committed to clean it up quickly under a contract, so that the airport is clean as soon as possible. We are discussing the procedure. There are two things of note here: first, the governor only authorized the airport transfer, and second, the Navy promised to clean it now. At the same time, the Navy will continue with the cleanup of sites in sale Parcel I. Parcel II is not contaminated. I believe it is a great success and we can not complain because we are selling something that is clean.

Sale Parcel Strategy:

- Mark Davidson – For Sale Parcel I, all the contaminated sites will be “carved out” of the sale property. Only clean property will be transferred. The Navy will retain the contaminated parcels.
- Ramón Figueroa – What role, if any, will the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico administrative agencies (EQB and DNER) have in the cleanup, to assure the community that what is going to be cleaned up complies with the established rules?
- Mark Davidson – The documents will continue to be sent to and reviewed by the same agencies (EPA and EQB) and they will continue to be involved in the decisions and approval process.
- Jorge Fernandez - The procedure that you propose with Parcel I, wouldn't that be an early transfer without the government authorization? You will be transferring a part, but it will be an early transfer.

7

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

- Tito Colorado – The governor was willing to sign, but obviously, technically speaking you retain the property and the agencies need to know who has the lands.
- Mark Davidson – The Navy will keep ownership of the contaminated portion and do the cleanup. We will do what is called a lease back [of that portion to the new owner of Parcel I, so they can use the property as appropriate while cleanup is going on]. Land use controls will be placed in the lease to prevent unacceptable exposure to any contamination. Once the cleanup is complete, the remainder of the property will be transferred to the new owner.
- Jorge Fernandez – The Navy committed not to clean, but to do it quickly; in that case, the law would be violated. Regarding the groundwater, how you can say that it is or is not contaminated? Is the Navy, by insisting on selling the lands to the best offeror, punishing the Governor for not doing whatever you want?
- Mark – The Navy’s plan is to get rid of the parcels so reuse can begin. But it must be done with safety and in the best public interest, and this transfer and lease back methodology is within the law.
- Jorge Fernandez – My opinion is that the property should be transferred clean, as it was before the Navy arrived. There is always uncertainty. I strongly protest this decision.
- Lilyana Betancourt (visitor) – I endorse what Jorge said and would like to make the point, based on the community opinion, that you are violating our rights. Have you thought of OSHA? How would they let people work in contaminated areas? I think you should clean up before transferring, not to sell or do anything until Parcel I is totally decontaminated.
- Ramón Figueroa - We cannot lose the perspective that this base was closed in six months; I have read a lot, and what happened in closing Roosevelt Roads [so quickly] was something amazing. Independently of the reasons, cleanup is a long and tedious process and the Navy should have thought about it. We should remain calm and be aware of what’s happening. After all, this should benefit the community. There’s a lot to do yet.
- Mark Davidson – Thanks for all the comments; we will take them into consideration.

Dental Clinic Transfer:

- Lirio Marquez – what is a FOST/FOSL?
- Mark Davidson – Finding of Suitability for Transfer and Finding of Suitability to Lease

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

IV. TOPICS DISCUSSED AND PRESENTATIONS

Mark Kimes (Baker Environmental) presented an overview of selected sites: 1, 2, 16, 42, A and 45. (See Attachment 2 for presentation.) The following summarizes the discussion after each presentation.

AOC A - Torpedo Shop

- Lirio Marquez - Which metals were detected exceeding the screening levels?
- Mark Kimes - I can't remember them exactly, but I can provide them later.
- William Lourido (RAB member) - When you took samples at the torpedo shop, did you sample the interior walls? Did you remove the asbestos or it is still there?
- Mark Kimes - At the time we were not looking for asbestos.

SWMU 45 - Former Power Plant

- John Henry (RAB member) - Can that beach near the site (All Hands Beach) be opened?
- Mark Kimes - Yes, the beach is ok.

Pole Yard - SWMU 78

- This site was added to the list of sites under investigation, EPA was notified and a RCRA Phase I Investigation (RFI) was requested.

SWUMs 1 and 2

- Jorge Fernandez - These sites are located on Bahía Ensenada Honda; some of it is under water. Are you cleaning under water and is your proposal to also sell that after the cleaning? Would the underwater contamination be cleaned up if necessary?
- Mark Kimes - That's a risk management decision. What's the risk? Is it [environmentally] riskier to remove it or to leave it alone?
- Mark Davidson - The simple fact of selling Parcel III doesn't give the new owner the right to redevelop areas that are considered wetlands or otherwise protected; there are rules and regulations to protect it.
- Tito Colorado - We are going to work to the maximum with the zoning. We told the developers that there would be areas that can not be touched, like archeologically sensitive areas, etc. We want to assure the community and PR that we will protect this.
- Jorge Fernandez - I understand the zoning, but my question is whether or not you are going to rezone the borders before selling and whether or not you are advertising that some parts are public?

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

- Tito Colorado - We are creating awareness that certain areas must be analyzed.
- Lilyana Betancourt - I am concerned about the wetlands. The DNER should take this seriously and those parts should be removed from the sale deeds.
- Lirio Marquez - A question for the DNER: are you working with the land delineation of these areas?
- Vicente Quevedo (DNER) - We haven't done anything yet; the land must be transferred in order for us to work on that.
- Lirio Márquez - What about the parcels that will be sold in zones (parcels) that will not be transferred to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico?
- Vicente Quevedo: Would have to ask the Legal Division.
- Tito Colorado: I am trying to have something to comment in about two or three weeks. As soon as we have the properties, we can zone them. The best I can do right now is to warn the developers. Let's see how we can work with this issue as soon as possible.

Other:

- Lilyana Betancourt - A question for Ismael Velásquez regarding the PCB contamination: where is that building located, in 2042?
- Ismael Velásquez - In the Sea Breeze; I verified that soil was taken from the only part not detected; everything is clean.
- Lilyana Betancourt - Can the EPA certify that it is totally clean: Building 90, the substation?
- Mark Davidson - There are many transformers at SWMU 78, but they are labeled NO PCB. The area will be evaluated, including the oil container. The contaminated soil beneath the drain valve was excavated, analyzed and placed in drums for disposal. The testing showed the soil is free of PCBs.

Isla Piñeros - Mark Davidson

- Mark Davidson - The digital geophysical mapping was completed and anomalies [buried metallic items] were identified. We will start working on them.
- John Henry: Both islands?
- Mark Davidson: Yes, both islands were evaluated. However, there was no historical evidence that training was performed on Cabeza de Perro. Also, Cabeza de Perro is a very rocky island and has no beaches to attract boaters. Once you get there, the grass is over your head. Therefore, we did not do digital geophysical mapping at Cabeza de

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

Perro. I think Cabeza de Perro is “guilty by association”, just for being near Piñeros. It will be transferred to DNER.

- Ismael Velázquez – When you talked about Special Forces at Piñeros, there was a simulated prisoner camp and live munitions practices were held. There are a lot of bullet shells; did you know that was there?
- Mark Davidson asked Ismael to identify that area and circle it on the map.
- Lilyana Betancourt – Have you checked Isla de Cabras?
- Mark Davidson – Yes, but we have no sites currently identified on Isla de Cabras.
- Ismael Velázquez – That part belongs to the Coast Guard and it is not for sale. But talking about contamination, jet canisters fell into the beach, 35 feet; there are thousands of them, that’s copper and that is contamination.

V. RAB CHARTER

Susana Struve presented the RAB Charter and asked RAB members if they are ready to sign it. Ramón Figueroa presented the background of how the Charter was developed.

The charter was signed by RAB members and the agency representatives present at the meeting.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

The next RAB meeting is tentatively scheduled for mid-March. The meeting was adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

VII. NEW AND ONGOING ACTION ITEMS FOR THE NEXT RAB MEETING

The following summarizes the new and ongoing action items that will be carried forward to the next RAB meeting in March 2008.

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

Item	Description	Discussion	Status
#1	Local Reuse Authority (LRA): Take Rafael Montes to the dry area across the bay [<i>mud flats near the housing area beach</i>] and respond to his concerns.	Alfonso Martinez (LRA) will contact RAB member Rafael Montes and schedule a visit to this area	Ongoing
#2	LRA: Provide update on the Hospital Application, and what benefit the hospital will be to the local community	Application has not been approved. LRA will provide continued updates.	Ongoing
#3	Navy: Options for cleanup if the governor does not sign CDR; impact on schedule LRA		NEW

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

ATTACHMENT 1 - Meeting Attendees

RAB Community Members in Attendance	RAB Community Members Absent
Ramón D. Figueroa, Community Co-Chair	Noraida Vázquez
Ismael Velázquez Santos	Carlos Brown
Luís A. Velázquez Rivera	Samuel Caraballo
Jorge Fernández Porto	Jimmy Concepción Robles
Lirio Márquez D'Acunti	Ángel de Jesús Matta
William Lourido	José Díaz
John Henry	Myrna Maldonado
Rafael Montes	Debra McWhirter (excused)
Agustín Velázquez	Ramón M. Ríos
	Daniel E. González
	Zoraida Vázquez Arce

Community Members Visiting

Magali Sánchez	Iraida Morales
Antonio Ávila	Roberto Hernández
Claribel Feliciano	Magaly Sánchez
Virginia Rojas	
Manuel Piñero	
Lilyana Betancourt	

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

RAB Agency Representatives in Attendance

Mark Davidson, Navy Co-Chair	Remedial Project Manager for the former Naval Station Roosevelt Roads
Antonio Colorado	Portal del Futuro (Local Reuse Authority [LRA])
Josefina González	Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Neida Pumarejo Cintrón	Puerto Rico Conservation Trust
Vicente Quevedo	Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER)
Luis Negrón	U.S Environmental Protection Agency

Other Agency Representatives

Héctor C. Horta	DNER
Wilmarie Rivera	EQB
Jorge Báez	Puerto Rico Conservation Trust
Alfonso Martínez	Portal del Futuro (LRA)
Freddy de Jesús	Portal del Futuro (LRA)
Pedro Ruiz	Environmental Program Manager, Naval Activity Puerto Rico (NAPR)
David Criswell	Navy BRAC Deputy Program Manager

Support Staff Present

Susana Struve	CH2M HILL, Inc. (meeting facilitator, Navy contractor)
Mark Kimes	Baker Environmental, Inc. (Navy Installation Restoration Program contractor)
Jossie Solanilla	CH2M HILL, Inc. (meeting notes, Navy contractor)

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.

ATTACHMENT 2 - Meeting Presentations

Note: This summary is presented in English and Spanish for the convenience of the reader. Every effort has been made for the translations to be as accurate as reasonably possible. However, readers should be aware that the English version of the text is the official version.

Nota: Este resumen se presenta en inglés y en español para la conveniencia del lector. Se han hecho todos los esfuerzos para que la traducción sea precisa en lo más razonablemente posible. Sin embargo, los lectores deben estar al tanto que el texto en inglés es la versión oficial.